PART 1: APPROACH

1.1 Abstract

The consultation for a Local Place Plan (LPP) for Inverkeithing was developed by a working group comprised of members from Inverkeithing Community Council and Inverkeithing Trust with support from Fife Councillors for Ward 6.

Reflecting known priorities and subjects of frequent discussion, it was agreed the consultation would focus on a small number of key parcels of land within the town's boundaries and incorporate aspects of a previous community plan, Imagine Inverkeithing, written in 2018. In addition to consulting on the priorities highlighted by the 2018 community consultation, it was agreed that this Local Place Plan should consider the development of a housing policy for the town to establish if there are any specific housing priorities the community would like to see incorporated into future development in the town.

After agreeing on a set of initial questions to ask the community, the working group conducted a two-part online consultation. A further public drop-in was subsequently organised and advertised online, via posters throughout the town and in the local press. The drop-in consultation asked the same questions as those in the online survey and sought to reach members of the community who had not had the opportunity to express their views online.

The areas of land consulted on were:

- Former Caldwell Paper Mill
- Inverkeithing High School and associated grounds
- o Site of Inverkeithing Primary School
- o The Roods
- Prestonhill Quarry
- Branch railway line to Rosyth Dockyard

1.2 Results

Part 1 of the online consultation, which focused on which areas of the land within the town should be included in Inverkeithing's LPP, received 109 individual responses.

Part 2 of the online consultation, which focused on policy priorities, incorporated the aims of the Imagine Inverkeithing consultation, consideration of a housing policy and added a question on Prestonhill Quarry, received a further 69 responses.

The drop-in consultation asked the same questions as Part 2 of the online consultation and was attended by approximately 15 people.

PART 2: SITE FEEDBACK

2.1 Paper Mill Site

Consultation Question

The Papermill site is owned by Purvis Group and was previously allocated as an opportunity site, suitable for a range of development types including a mix of industrial and housing. The 2017 Local Development Plan changed the allocation of this site for industrial use only. The owners are at an early stage in progressing development of the site but have expressed an interest in a mix of residential use, commercial use and amenity improvement.

What would you like to see happen to the Papermill site? For example:

- o would a mix of industrial and residential use on the site be acceptable?
- o should there be a link through the site to the coastal path?

Community Response

There were 97 individual comments from respondents in relation to the Papermill site.

65% of respondents were in support of some form of residential development on the time, with 18% in opposition.

On residential use, 65% of respondents support some form of residential development onsite with only 18% opposing residential use. 17% of responses were either neutral or made no comment on the question of residential use. Excluding responses making no comment on residential use, support for residential use increases to 78%.

On industrial use, 63% were supportive of some form of industrial development with 16% opposing this. 21% of responses were either neutral or made no comment on industrial use. Excluding responses making no comment on industrial use, support for industrial use increases to 80%.

74% of responses made specific reference to the coastal path, supporting greater integration between any future development and the walking route that currently is diverted away from the site.

Incorporating greenspace or recreation into the site either in part or in full was a common theme in comments with 30% of respondents making some reference to this.

Several comments were received on the subjects of local services, road network and flood risk.

Overall, respondents are broadly in favour of the proposals by Purvis to develop this brownfield land for a mix of residential and industrial use.

2.2 High School Site

Consultation Question

The High School site is council-owned and will be vacated by the relocation of the school to a new site in Rosyth, due to open in 2026. There has been lots of speculation about the future of the site, but no plans have been determined. One of the most discussed ideas is for the site to be a hub for community use and sports provision, serving the wider area.

What would you like to see happen to the High School site? For example:

- would development of the site to support continued community use and sports provision be acceptable?
- would you support the partial or total demolition of the existing High School, despite it being B-Listed by Historic Scotland, or do you think some or all of the buildings should be retained?
- would limited commercial/residential development be acceptable if it helped to secure funding to improve community use and sports facilities?

Community Response

There were 93 individual comments made by respondents in relation to the High School site.

The responses showed overwhelming support for the site being primarily or entirely used for community and sports use, with 93% of respondents indicating this as being their first preference for future development. Only 6% of respondents made no reference to sports or community use in their responses and 1 response was in favour of the site being used entirely for other uses.

54% of respondents indicated they supported partial or total demolition of the existing school building, with 6% opposing any demolition. 40% of respondents expressed no view on demolition. Those who opposed demolition expressed concerns about the loss of the existing community-use facilities within the High School building, although a small number of these respondents indicated they would support demolition on the understanding these facilities would be rebuilt in a new site.

While an overwhelming majority of respondents favoured the site being used for community purposes, a number of comments detailed that some form of mixed development to cross-subsidise community use facilities would be acceptable. 26% of respondents suggested commercial development would be welcome for this purpose and 34% indicated support for housing development for this purpose.

A small number of responses noted the fact the site is currently used by local community sports groups, and any plans to develop the site for community and sporting use should consider the needs of these local groups in order to ensure they are able to remain based within the town even with future development of the site once the High School is vacated.

2.3 Old Primary School Site

Consultation Question

The Old Primary School site is privately owned and has been the subject of a number of proposals for both private housing and social housing, including a co-housing initiative. Prior to a fire onsite, there were two Listed structures. The main building has since been de-listed while the remaining H-block remains a Listed C building.

What would you like to see happen to the Old Primary School site? For example:

- would development of the site for housing (either private or social rented) be acceptable?
- o would commercial development be acceptable?
- would demolition of the remaining older building on the site (known as the H-block and currently C-Listed by Historic Scotland) be acceptable?

Community Response

There were 88 individual comments made by respondents in relation to the Old Primary School site.

In terms of future use, 69% of respondents indicated in their responses that housing would be the most appropriate use for the site, with 9% against such proposals. Commercial development of the site received lower support (26% in favour), although there were a high number of neutral responses (68%) and only 6% being completely against commercial development.

A significant number of responses noted the unsightly appearance of the site in recent years, and a number of responses emphasised the importance of developing the site before any further deterioration of the existing structures.

In light of this, nearly half of respondents (49%) were in favour of demolition of the fire-damaged main building (with a small number suggesting this was a priority to them to ensure development can take place in a timely fashion). 31% of respondents had no opinion in terms of demolition and 20% were opposed. Those who opposed demolition did so predominantly on the basis that they believed it to be important to preserve some of the town's heritage.

There was unanimous consensus that this site would not be appropriate for industrial development, although one respondent indicated it would be a welcome addition to the town if the site was converted into additional parking for the High Street, where parking is limited.

2.4 Roods Site

Consultation Question

The Roods area is owned by Fife Council and is currently allocated for housing in the existing Local Development Plan. Fife Council has long terms plans to develop the site for new Council housing.

What would you like to see happen to the Roods site? For example:

- would development of the site for housing be acceptable? (either private or social rented housing)
- o would commercial development be acceptable?

Community Response

There were 87 individual comments made by respondents in relation to the Roods site.

A high number of responses (63%) supported retention of some open green space, accessible by residents and used as a key site in the town to develop biodiversity and exercise space. Many of those seeking to retain open green space sought this as part of a sensitively designed development, although 37% favored no development of the site at all.

Those who supported retaining the site as open space highlighted that this was on the basis there were a number of other sites in the town which could be used for residential or commercial development and concerns were also raised on traffic management should the site be developed.

54% of respondents believed Roods to be an appropriate site for housing development, although 38% were against this option. Approximately half of those who supported housing development added comments emphasising their desire for some green space to be retained on the site which could continue to be accessed by all and used to encourage biodiversity and wildlife. 8% of responses did not indicate any stance on housing developments.

Those who responded positively to the proposal for residential housing development on the site indicated that the overwhelming preference would be for this to be in the form of social/Council housing to combat concerns about the lack of affordable rental properties within the town.

A smaller number of responses supported commercial development (15%) and half of respondents objected to this. 35% of respondents did not indicate any stance on supporting or opposing commercial development, although a small number of comments were in favour of incorporating a small number of commercial units into any residential development.

2.5 Rosyth Dockyard Branch Line

Consultation Question

The Rosyth Dockyard Branch Line between Inverkeithing and Rosyth is owned by Network Rail and has been disused for many years. The line is not identified in the current Local Development Plan but there is an action in Fife's Local Transport Strategy to investigate the feasibility of upgrading the line to improve rail freight access to the proposed Forth Green Freeport, with the action being 2-4 years.

What would you like to see happen to the Rosyth Dockyard Branch Line? For example:

- o if the Forth Green Freeport bid is successful, would development of the line for freight be a good use of the line?
- would development of the line as a biodiversity corridor/nature trail and active travel route be acceptable in the interim or if the freight route is not progressed?

Community Response

There were 91 individual comments made by respondents in relation to the disused railway branch line to Rosyth Dockyard.

A number of respondents indicated their disappointment that the site has been allowed to become overgrown and the overwhelming consensus was that any form of development would be welcomed.

67% of respondents indicated they would support the branch line being reinstated for freight use if the Green Freeport site at Rosyth develops, although a small number of responses indicated that they believed this development would not be as beneficial as others, such as active travel routes. 16% of respondents indicated they would not support the line's reintroduction for use as a freight line and a majority of those who held this view indicated it was on the grounds of potential noise pollution.

An overwhelming number of respondents (89%) indicated they favoured the branch line being converted into an active travel corridor, which could support cyclists, walkers and runners and could potentially also be linked to the Fife Coastal Path. Approximately ten responses expressly mentioned incorporating a form of nature trail, wildlife, and biodiversity into these plans. A small number had no opinion (9%) or were against (2%) such development, and the reason for this was predominantly connected to concerns about the potential for an increase in anti-social behaviour around the area.

Overall, and regardless of which development is taken forward, respondents were clear that they believed the town would benefit from this site being tidied up and made more visually appealing, with the repainting and preservation of existing railway infrastructure, such as bridges, as part of this.

2.6 Prestonhill Quarry Site

Consultation Question

Prestonhill Quarry is private land¹ and is currently the subject of a planning application for 180 residential units, including the infilling of the quarry pond and removal of the quarry cliff face.

What would you like to see happen to the Quarry site? For example:

- should the area of Prestonhill Quarry and surrounding open space remain open space, or would some form of development be acceptable?
- should the quarry pond be filled in or managed for recreation (eg scuba diving)

Community Response

There were 72 individual comments made by respondents in relation to Prestonhill Quarry.

An overwhelming number of respondents indicated that they were in favour of retaining the Quarry as some form of green space or recreational site (91%) with a small number (5%) being opposed and in favour of another form of development. The remaining 4% expressed no opinion on the development of the site as a green space. A high number of those who favoured the retention of the Quarry as a green space cited its unique character and setting upon the Firth of Forth, as well as the variety of wildlife living at and around the site as being reasons for their support. A small number of responses noted the fact the site was visible from the nearby railway line, and so some form of tidying up would be welcome. Some others indicated that tidying up as part of a wider package of investment that allowed for some form of commercial development to facilitate recreational activities on the site would be welcomed.

Just over half of respondents (56%) indicated in their comments that the Quarry pond was an integral part of the site and so should be retained. With 22% having no opinion on the matter and a further 22% being in favour of the pond being filled in. Those who favour retaining the pond were also generally supportive of its development for recreational activities and uses. Those who were in favour of the pond being filled in raised concerns over the safety of the open pond and cited recent incidents or favoured alternative development of the site (such as housing) which would require the pond to be filled in to enable this.

In terms of alternative development, one-fifth (20%) supported the building of houses and 68% opposed such development with 13% having no opinion. A number of those who objected to residential development of the site noted its close proximity to the former Papermill site, owned by Purvis and discussed elsewhere in the consultation, which would be a suitable alternative location for housing to be erected.

A small number of responses supported the idea of small-scale commercial development (perhaps by installing shipping containers on the site) which could be used to cross-subside the development of the Quarry as an open green space.

2.7 Other Sites

Consultation Question

Are there other areas in Inverkeithing that should be included in the LPP to set out what kind of development might be acceptable? What would you like to see happen with other sites?

Community Response

There were 37 substantive comments made by respondents in relation to other possible sites or ideas for the LPP. Nine highlighted that Prestonhill Quarry had not been included in the first consultation, and hence it was included in the second part of the questionnaire and is included in the results above. The next most common site referenced was the Harbour area, with four comments received on this, followed by the High Street, receiving three comments. Other areas mentioned included land at Port Street, the Backlands (behind the High Street) and Lethamhill Woods.

PART 3: POLICY FEEDBACK

3.1 Housing Policy

Consultation Question

Does Inverkeithing need more social housing?

Community Response

61% of respondents to the survey indicated that they believed Inverkeithing needs more social housing. 20% responded no to this question. 7% don't know.

Consultation Question

Does Inverkeithing need more private housing? (either rented or owned)

Community Response

41% of respondents to the survey indicated that they believed Inverkeithing needs more private housing. 56% responded no to this question. 3% don't know.

Based on the comments provided, those who responded negatively to this question have predominantly done so on the grounds of concerns about having the infrastructure to support additional residents to the town.

Those in favour of additional private housing noted the quick rate at which private rentals become occupied in the town and expressed a belief that recent private housing developments (such as the Taylor Wimpey Spencer Fields development) has improved the town's image and allowed families to stay in the town due to the increased availability of larger properties for them to move into.

Consultation Question

What kind of housing does Inverkeithing need? (eg 1-bed, 2-bed, large family homes, retirement bungalows, a mix of all?)

Community Response

The responses to this question were mixed, but the most commonly identified types of housing were small one or two bedroom properties, retirement bungalows, affordable properties (including affordable family homes), and affordable rental properties.

40% of respondents suggested that a mix of all types of properties were needed in Inverkeithing.

Consultation Question

Do you agree that any private housing development should be on empty or derelict sites like the Papermill or Old Primary School sites?

Community Response

74% of respondents indicated support for ensuring any future housing development took place on brownfield sites within the town (excluding the Quarry site). 22% of respondents indicated that any site would be appropriate for housing development (such as the Quarry site and Roods).

A small number of responses supported the development of mixed-use sites with integrated commercial development to ensure Inverkeithing maintains an appropriate level of facilities and infrastructure as the town grows.

Consultation Question

What infrastructure improvements are needed to support new housing development? (eg roads, education, GP surgery, etc).

Community Response

Specifically relating to infrastructure improvements, two-thirds of respondents specifically mentioned the need for healthcare improvements (with 18 mentioning dental facilities); just under half mentioned the retention or expansion of community use facilities (including gyms, recreational areas, and a swimming pool, as well as increased opening hours for places like the library and other community use buildings); one-third of respondents (22) mentioned the need for road improvements (especially on busy thoroughfares, such as bus routes); a small number also mentioned the need for further commercial development, improved public transport connections (especially buses in the late evening and at weekends), and improving the provision of active travel routes.

Consultation Question

Do you agree that any new housing should not extend the existing Inverkeithing boundaries?

Community Response

65% of responses were in favour of protecting the existing boundaries of Inverkeithing and prohibiting any development that expands beyond these boundaries. A number of individuals in support of this stance raised concerns any 'urban sprawl' would take away the community feel and peace that comes with living in Inverkeithing currently and many more mentioned the importance of developing the brownfield sites before considering expansion to the town boundaries. 23% were against such restriction and 12% had no opinion. Those against such restrictions thought further integration with neighbouring residential areas like North Queensferry and Dalgety Bay may improve the quality of services provided in the town.

Consultation Question

Do you have any other comments that could contribute to the development of a Housing Policy for Inverkeithing?

Community Response

A majority of these comments related to the development of brownfield sites as well as ensuring any increase in housing was supported by appropriate infrastructure improvements.

A small number of responses focused on the climate and the development of natural sites for wildlife in town, as well as highlighting the need to account for things like flood risks should sites near the Firth of Forth be deleted for housing development.

A small number of comments noted the appeal of Inverkeithing as a commuter town, and it was suggested this should be factored into any decisions relating to the development of housing.

One comment noted that derelict properties should be taken over by the Council and repurposed for housing or the common good. One other comment suggested considering sites that could be 'gentrified' (such as the scrap metal yard) to make the town a more appealing place to live with facilities that keep locals within the town.

3.2 Imagine Inverkeithing

3.2.1 Natural and Built Environment Objectives of Inverkeithing

Consultation Question

- 1. Make Inverkeithing a town of flexible and attractive public realm and open spaces.
- 1. Better pedestrian connectivity and inclusive access.
- 2. Retain and enhance the best of the town's natural and built heritage resources.
- 3. Make Inverkeithing a climate resilient town.
- 4. Make Inverkeithing energy resilient.

Should these objectives be incorporated into the new LPP?

Are there any other Natural and Built Environment objectives that should be included?

Do you agree there should be no further development between Inverkeithing and Dalgety Bay and between Inverkeithing and Rosyth or North Queensferry?

Community Response

There were 60 individual comments relating to the Natural and Built Environment Objectives of Inverkeithing.

An overwhelming majority (82%) of responses supported these objectives and their incorporation into Inverkeithing's LPP. 7% were opposed and 12% did not know. Those who did not support the objectives noted that it was unclear what was meant by making Inverkeithing 'climate resistant 'and 'energy resilient'.

Those who provided comments clearly emphasised how important it was to maintain green spaces, and the broad consensus was that all building should take place on brownfield sites. Although the Quarry may appear a brownfield site on paper, a recurring theme was for this site to be protected in its natural environment/current state and perhaps enhanced into some form of a unique outdoor recreational area.

Almost all respondents emphasised their wish for the town's boundaries to remain separated from North Queensferry and Dalgety Bay, through the protection of natural green spaces that currently separate the towns. One respondent commented that further development was necessary, however, and at this time they saw no evidence that provided a firm argument against developing this land.

Focus was placed on the town centre, and it was highlighted that more needs to be done to keep people in the town and using the town - especially as more development takes place. Concern was raised that most residents go to Dalgety Bay to access facilities (commercial or otherwise) that could be delivered within Inverkeithing itself with the right planning.

3.2.2 Social Objectives of Inverkeithing

Consultation Question

- 1. Inverkeithing is a welcoming and inclusive town.
- 2. Build a sense of pride in the town's history.
- 3. Give young people a role in the future of the town.
- 4. Put people first by creating spaces that offer the opportunity for public life and social interaction to happen whether planned or spontaneous and provide benefits for physical and mental health.

Should these objectives be incorporated into the new LPP?

Are there any other Social Objectives that should be included?

Community Response

There were 54 individual comments relating to the Social Objectives of Inverkeithing.

An overwhelming majority (83%) of responses supported these objectives and their incorporation into Inverkeithing's LPP. 6% were opposed and 11% did not know.

Many respondents had concerns about the lack of social spaces in the town and the fact this may contribute to anti-social behaviour or people leaving the town.

There was an overriding theme that respondents believed the retention of the community use leisure facilities, including the gym and swimming pool, at the High School's Community Wing was of the utmost importance and should be a key feature of any future development on this site.

Respondents generally agreed with the proposed objectives and believe that focus should now be placed on implanting them.

Many respondents highlighted their concern that there was a lack of focus on the history of the town, and this should play an integral role in any development plans.

Many also believed that young people should be consulted and placed at the heart of any future developments. This was justified on the basis that many young people move away from Inverkeithing and their thoughts and opinions should be taken into consideration to keep them in the local area.

3.2.3 Economic Objectives of Inverkeithing

Consultation Question

- 1. Retain and develop diverse and thriving local businesses.
- 2. Make Inverkeithing an attractive destination for new businesses and start-ups.
- 3. Offer opportunities that capitalise on Inverkeithing's entrepreneurial spirit.
- 4. Create a destination capturing Inverkeithing's
- 5. Create a brand around Inverkeithing's industrial and maritime heritage.

Should these objectives be incorporated into the new LPP?

Are there any other Economic objectives that should be included?

Community Response

There were 60 individual comments relating to the economic objectives of Inverkeithing.

An overwhelming majority (80%) of responses supported these objectives and their incorporation into Inverkeithing's LPP. 5% were opposed and 15% did not know.

There was a general consensus that the town needed a more diverse business base, and that this would encourage residents to spend more money locally rather than travel to nearby communities (such as Dalgety Bay)

Many respondents believed that focus should be placed on revitalising the High Street, and that this should be the heart of Inverkeithing's retail hub. Some suggestions include reducing rents and rates for new businesses looking to open on the High Street, and supporting interest shown in recently closed businesses, which would play a key role in this development.

Many raised concerns that the planning process through Fife Council was detrimental to the prospects of new businesses opening and simplifying this process/providing more support to those going through the process would help new businesses.

There were two common themes when considering what types of business are needed in Inverkeithing: (1) industrial units that would provide jobs and diversify the workforce in the town, and (2) businesses that support nightlife in the town including family-friendly licensed establishments and more sit-down restaurants.

Respondents also believed that a brand should be developed that incorporated the significant maritime heritage of the town and this should be the key emphasis of any development plans.

3.2.4 Promoting the Coastal Path/Pride in the Past

Consultation Question

- Deliver a high-quality green network and enhanced habitat along the coastline. Integrate high-quality pedestrian and active travel links, promoting options for healthy life choices.
- 2. Improve leisure and recreation opportunities to improve the physical and mental well-being of the community.
- 3. Stimulate investment opportunities in vacant/gap sites/underused facilities. Create places for people.
- 4. Promote the industrial heritage of Inverkeithing through conservation of, and reference to history through interpretation or the design of new development/interventions.

Should these objectives be incorporated into the new LPP?

Community Response

An overwhelming majority (97%) of responses supported these objectives and their incorporation into Inverkeithing's LPP. One respondent was opposed and one did not know.

There was very strong support for all of the above themes from respondents.

Many residents emphasised the importance of ensuring the High School site's primary function in any future development is to deliver sports and leisure facilities for the town and the site should be expanded in a sustainable way that enables more facilities to be offered.

Vacant and unused sites should not be treated by a universal policy and instead should be reviewed on a case-by-case basis to ensure all sites are well utilised and specific sites are protected where there is a need/want for that.

Emphasis was placed on the importance of ensuring Prestonhill Quarry remains in a natural state and is not developed.

There was unanimous support for increased green space and improved connections to make sure green spaces are accessible for all. This included access to the coastal path and local parks and other facilities of a similar nature.

3.2.5 Legible Links

Consultation Question

- Deliver high-quality pedestrian links between key points of arrival, transport interchanges and town centre. Encourage visitors and commuters into Inverkeithing with a welcoming, high-quality public realm that responds to and enhances the townscape character.
- 2. Deliver enhanced pedestrian and active travel routes that increase the uptake of walking and cycling. Improve the quality and coordination of wayfinding.

Should these objectives be incorporated into the new LPP?

Community Response

An overwhelming majority of responses (98%) supported these objectives and their incorporation into Inverkeithing's LPP. There was no opposition and one don't know.

There was overriding support for the objectives and many respondents welcomed such ideas. It was highlighted how important implanting these objectives was to maintain the health and wellbeing of residents.

Many responses highlighted concerns about the accessibility of public transport; particularly in relation to the cost of buses (even on short hop routes to Dalgety Bay) and rail services (especially into Edinburgh). Some responses noted the difficulties in accessing public transport facilities, especially buses, in the evening and at weekends when services are sometimes reduced.

Developing safe travel routes to allow those who wish to walk/cycle to the new High School site in Rosyth was considered to be important by many respondents.

Respondents noted a lack of cycling facilities in the town and shared access sites (such as the coastal path) not providing any segregated space - something which should be built into any future development plans.

Collaboration and support should be sought from Sustrans and other groups who could provide support in developing these ideas.

3.2.6 Bring Nature In

Consultation Question

- 1. Create a high-quality green network and green infrastructure throughout the town.
- 2. Ensure that all residents in the town live within walking distance of a high quality, biodiverse green space.
- 3. Promote greater biodiversity and multi-functionality of open spaces within the town.

Should these objectives be incorporated into the new LPP?

Community Response

An overwhelming majority (97%) supported these objectives and their incorporation into Inverkeithing's LPP. One opposed and one did not know.

While some highlighted that many, if not all, residents of Inverkeithing already had access to high-quality open spaces with high levels of biodiversity, respondents were enthusiastic about the prospect of further improving this.

The currently disused Dockyard branch line was highlighted as a key site where biodiversity could be mixed with a useful and accessible public space, which should be developed to support both biodiversity and active travel.

Some respondents noted the backlash that was raised when developers attempted to build houses on the Prestonhill Quarry site, and this site was highlighted as one of the most important sites of biodiversity in the town that should be developed into a recreational/open space area that preserves its natural beauty.

Some respondents highlighted the impact of excessive grass cutting on the town's open spaces and the detrimental impact this has on wildlife and biodiversity. Efforts to incorporate the protection of wildlife through sustainable gardening and groundskeeping methods would therefore be welcome in future developments.

3.2.7 Neighbourhood Plan for Inverkeithing

Consultation Question

The current Neighbourhood Plan sets out the following aim;

"Inverkeithing will grow by choice. It will be a well-connected, inclusive and nurturing town, which will preserve and enhance its inspiring setting and proud heritage. A vibrant neighbourhood built on strong communities and active participation, the town will support and retain a thriving and forward-thinking local economy. Our people will forge sustainable links with partners across Fife to promote Inverkeithing as an attractive destination to live, work and visit."

Do you believe the above aim still captures how Inverkeithing should develop?

Community Response

An overwhelming majority (95%) agreed with the statement. Two respondents (4%) did not and one respondent did not know.

While many agreed with this aim, some respondents felt that it had not been achieved and that it should be reviewed in line with current and future development plans.

Many respondents noted that Inverkeithing is one of the best, if not the best, connected towns in Fife and, because of that, it should be marketed and treated as one of the most desirable areas to live and work.

Many respondents noted that Inverkeithing has developed away from being an industrial town and instead focus should be placed on its prominent location as a key commuter town to attract new businesses and residents.

An accessible community hub that can bring people together should be central to the town and a way to make individuals feel welcome and well-connected in Inverkeithing.

Growth should not necessarily focus on population and housing, but rather the development and investment in the town's assets (such as community facilities) which will attract visitors and encourage residents to spend more time and money in Inverkeithing - all of which are natural drivers of growth.

INVERKEITHING LOCAL PLACE PLAN Consultation Findings PART 4: FULL RESPONSE

4.1 Papermill Site

Response

Consultation Question

The Papermill site is owned by Purvis Group and was previously allocated as an opportunity site, suitable for a range of development types including a mix of industrial and housing. The 2017 Local Development Plan changed the allocation of this site for industrial use only. The owners are at an early stage in progressing development of the site but have expressed an interest in a mix of residential use, commercial use and amenity improvement.

What would you like to see happen to the Papermill site? For example:

- o Would a mix of industrial and residential use on the site be acceptable?
- Should there be a link through the site to the coastal path?

| Link to the coastal path would be beneficial. A place for wildlife to thrive? A modern park similar to that being upgraded at Lochore meadows. |
|--|
| Would love to see it getting used for housing. |
| Don't care. |
| Anything, housing, industrial, retail, agricultural. |
| Community space or industrial. Cycle route with connection to Hope Street to bypass high street. |

Mix development, community use with access to coastal path.

A mix of residential and industrial is acceptable if done in an environmentally sustainable (non-polluting) way. A link to the coastal path from entry to Inverkeithing would be desirable.

The area should be developed to maximise the use of the harbour area. Housing and business in context with the setting, small shops, cafes, restaurants. The waterfront should be developed for leisure craft and water sports that would in turn generate business for the wider town.

The developer should invest in other community projects as part of any plan.

Residential use would be ideal and a path along the harbour would be great.

Yes to both. Lying vacant and derelict for years. The sooner this highly accessible brownfield site can be Developed/regenerated the better.

Any form of development would be a positive boost to the site. A mix of residential and commercial/industrial would be acceptable. Developments should be designed with active travel in mind and create links with the coastal paths and other such things.

Build houses or industrial, anything is better than the mess that's there now.

A link to the coastal path would be ideal. For years I have used the path and bridge to access ballast bank, would be nice for this to be updated and protected.

Yes, mixed use seems reasonable to me. Affordable housing needs to be part of it too. Shame the breakers yard can't be enticed to Rosyth industrial zone and the bay developed for amenity use and a marina.

Its would be great to see a mix on the site - ideally, I would prefer residential only (as a nearby resident the idea of additional heavy traffic doesn't appeal) however as its a brownfield site I can see that pure residential may be difficult. It is derelict wasted space at the moment and so much more could be done it so I would be supportive of something happening and this should take priority over any proposal to destroy Prestonhill area. I definitely think that linking the coastal path up through the papermill site in some way would be a great idea. Whatever happens on the site the coastal path should be linked up through it or around it depending on what was ultimately going to provide the most pleasant walking environment

Yes there should be a link through to the coastal path. Not housing as it is a flood risk

Mixed development would be good but with added leisure facilities and a new doctors perhaps while retaining the existing railway footbridge being retained and refurbished. A visitor outpost to compliment the towns history. Refurbish the existing railway infrastructure i.e. footbridges and walkways to retain existing walking routes. Demand network rail to clean up their branch line to make it more athletically pleasing to the eye.

There should still be a link here to the costal path as it has been one here all my lifetime. I think there should be some development whether it be a mix of a Marina and some housing but not a new housing estate as we have no Doctors, Dentists or any other facilities to support another housing estate.

Link to the coastal path. Industrial and residential, as long as it doesn't hinder the path. It would be great if there were leisure opportunities on this site.

In case you decide for a residential use, please take in consideration the jammed exists towards the motorway in rush hours, how many available places are at Inverkeithing Medical Practice and so on.

Make it a green space with links to the coastal path. A doctor's surgery so we can actually get doctors appointments in less than 6 weeks

A mix of industrial and housing

This would be great for housing with some recreational use, picnic area, a museum? I'm not sure what the industrial use could be, more non- invasive commercial (offices, small businesses) It would be good to establish a spur of the coastal path, there is a way already, but not well kept. I would have reservations with cutting the high street out of the official Fife coastal path, as walkers do help the local economy.

Industrial and residential and yes to linking it to the coastal path. Any development would be good for the area

It's a perfect piece of land to be used for a mix of housing and industrial units. Linking the area with the paths to Aberdour and Nth Queensferry would be fantastic

There should be a link to the coastal path

Original plan of a marina and restaurants

Mix of industrial (light) and residential good, don't see why not all residential. and very much a link through the site to the coastal path.

I think the residential use, commercial use and amenity improvement is good along with the diversion of coastal path. I also think that Inverkeithing needs more recycling points and perhaps having some greenspace and parks

A mix of residential and commercial would be preferable. And certainly, the coastal path should be linked.

Mixture of housing and industrial use. Maybe even using the access to the water as a business like in Dundee with the inflatables. Link to coastal path definitely.

Industrial with the coastal path running through It should not be used to build expensive residential houses that price locals out of the market

Developing the papermill site is long overdue, and I would welcome this site being used for residential use. A proper link to the coastal path would be most welcome, as it would avoid having to go on the main road and would be a safer option for cyclists.

This site was to be developed before anything in Inverkeithing so it will be who bungs who again.

I think more local amenity here is needed. There are very few parks and greenspaces, so connecting this to the coastal path would be great. More residential housing would also be appreciated. I don't think industrial use is the best use of the space. I think a park as part of the coastal path would be lovely here.

Residential like St David's in Dalgety Bay.

A mix of use would be beneficial in improving the site for residential and leisure use. Personally I don't think the inclusion of industrial premises should be considered. Since part of the Fife coastal path runs along the boundary of the site it would make sense to divert it through the site near the harbour side.

Keep the outside trees and path as a route onto coastal path.

Ideally would have been good to have a small retail park with restaurant and cafes as nothing in Inverkeithing.

Residential properties (incl local authority housing) with some industrial, including continued access for the coastal path. Coastal path should continue through site with maybe some reference to what was on the site before.

Definitely need access to coastal path.

A link through to the coastal path would be beneficial and could potential bring more footfall to local businesses. I think more amenities and spaces for community use are important.

Mix use with a link to the coastal path.

Fully green/renewable industrial and residential with a route to coastal path.

No opinion.

Just having the site used would be nice to see. I would still like to have a path from town to coast.

I don't think that residential use is suitable as we do not have the facilities or infrastructure to support this. Some sort of recreational activity may be good which will pull visitors to the town such as the Wildshore activities at Dundee. It would also be good to link the coastal route up further using this.

A mixture would be a good idea but should also include a hotel or decent bar/restaurant as these are sadly lacking in Inverkeithing. A link to the costal path is a must as the current path is not fit for purpose. An extension of the current harbour and dredging of the bay would encourage further tourism.

Residential only, link to coastal path by foot and bicycle only No industrial or large scale retails (ie Lidl, B&M etc) will affect Inverkeithing high street

Any use at all. Preferably residential with a link through to the coastal path.

It is a big site could a full industrial site be occupied, I am not sure. I think a mix of residential and industrial would suit this.

For most part this site is an eyesore due to the amount of rubbish left behind after the travellers. The little corridor of trees near the water is a great wee walk as there many opportunities to observe the varied wildlife both on sea and land, the various bird species sifting through the mud flats. The deer, rabbit, song birds, butterflies and moths on the land. This corridor could be attached to the old railway line as a nature walk and part of the costal path, bringing some much needed tourists to or wee toon. Since there is a serious shortage of social affordable housing in fife then this would be first priority for this site. Definitely diversion of costal path.

Residential/commercial, link to coastal path. Link to coastal path and housing, similar to St David's Bay

Yes a link up to walk to port Laing beach also something for the kids if Inverkeithing to enjoy. Upgrade the path that leads behind where mill was to port Laing

Develop the area for a mix of industrial, and private and social housing.

| this is the same level as Ballast bank and as such will flood by 2020. this must be taken |
|---|
| into consideration with planners/developers/planning approval. link up of pathways and |
| opening up access through old railway line would be needed as road in/out wont cope and |
| will make dangerous crossings lethal. |

An industrial site would bring jobs into the area.

Industrial with a coastal path going through

While needs developed it should form part of the coastal path through it

A mix of industrial and housing. There should be a link to coastal path

There definitely should not be residential property on this site. The local so close to the recycling plant and the poor transportation links would make this a terrible suggestion. It is disappointing that Purvis Group are even still suggesting this. This should be an industrial site. It should Definitely link up to the coastal path. The area at Ballast Bank could be a great park area/ social space and the paper mill site could play a part.

I think it would be a good location for mixed usage, albeit with the drawback that there's no existing buildings. I've locations like this, in places such as Bicester, Portsmouth, Dublin, etc become 'incubator' areas for businesses, skills (e.g. Bicester has a thriving historical motoring hub, which has attracted complimentary businesses, that don't have immediate competition) The skills part also attracts investment. More needs to be made of the coastal path, so that there's a more attractive / rewarding experience (see places like Bristol).

Mixd industrial & residential area, with a well-developed coastal path going on the coast.

Shopping units, supermarket, cafe

I'd prefer the site to be residential only.

Diversion of the Coastal Path would be welcome.

No link to coastal path. Houses and industrial fine

Yes to both questions. Diversion of coastal path would be good, save a long walk through the town

Yes and yes. Could be a place for Lammas fair to go

Definitely commercial use possibly incorporating a proper racing go-kart track as the nearest is at Crail! This facility would attract nationwide interest! Definitely access / improvement to the coastal path route.

Would be happy with a mix of industrial and residential use if it does become mixed use then would be in favour of a link to the coastal path if industrial only would still like to see a link but with it being sheltered off from the industrial area

Link to costal path and have restaurant and other amenities

I think an improvement of the old rail line that runs past this site towards Rosyth could be an exceptional addition to the coastal path, and make access easier to the park and ride from east and south Inverkeithing also.

Cleaning it up and adding it to the coastal walk would be nice. Some people already use it to walk dogs, would be good to have it properly looked after as a walk.

If anything, it should be mixed with residential and commercial opportunities. Cafe and restaurant. Coastal path should be developed to allow east access and off the main road. Possible turned into an open public park. To encourage wild life and clean up the harbour area.

Residential with possibly small industrial shops or retail shops. Would be great to have coastal path extended through here.

A leisure facility akin to the community use currently at the High School. The coastal path ought to have an alternative option to skirt the village through here.

Yes. Build something instead of using green sites

A mix of industrial and residential would be acceptable, it would be good to see it linked to the coastal path. An improved cycle path would be good.

A leisure area waterfront at river, restaurant, pub, and council houses for rent only and private housing for sale. Green walkways with coastal path link.

Yes the link should be retained if the site is used for residential only If used for industrial uses then separate access to the Fife coastal path should be developed

Mixed uses and pedestrian permeability. Is there a flooding issue?

Literally anything, houses, flats, hospitality, retail, warehouse, port, starbase

An indoor skate park like Unit 23 in Dumbarton, definitely a path to link the coastal path would be great.

A mix of residential and industrial would be acceptable - provided services such as a new GP surgery is added. The existing coastal path should remain intact. If it is going to be moved, it should be moved to be next to the river/sea, similar to the stretch towards Dalgety Bay.

Low level housing. There should be a link to coastal path

A mix would be acceptable but wholly residential would be preferable. A link to the coastal path would be great. If there was a link to the coastal path it would be good if there was also a recreational area too.

Prefer residential use but a mix is okay. Also yes a link through to the coastal path is important.

The site should cultivate greenspace at the east end—perhaps an area given over to rewilding to make use of its location. There is the opportunity for some small commercial units here too. The west should open to industrial use to provide jobs. There should be a coastal path. An amazing site for a festival before it is developed on. This could raise funds for community organisations to fund community buy back of part of the site.

Think there are enough homes being built in Inverkeithing so, to enhance this scenic natural piece of land I would like to see a link to the coastal path.

Housing would be the answer and a local shop and access to the costal path

Should be allocated for housing and small business units like recent units in Dalgety Bay Coastal path should go through this and avoid main road. dangerous

No more residential until the infrastructure is better placed to deal with more houses. Queen Margaret Hospital needs to be fully operational with A&E. When has a city never had a hospital! Linked to coastal path would be advantageous.

4.2 High School Site

Consultation Question

The High School site is council-owned and will be vacated by the relocation of the school to a new site in Rosyth, due to open in 2026. There has been lots of speculation about the future of the site, but no plans have been determined. One of the most discussed ideas is for the site to be a hub for community use and sports provision, serving the wider area.

What would you like to see happen to the High School site? e.g.

- Would development of the site to support continued community use and sports provision be acceptable?
- Would you support the partial or total demolition of the existing High School, despite it being B-Listed by Historic Scotland, or do you think some or all of the buildings should be retained?
- Would limited commercial/residential development be acceptable if it helped to secure funding to improve community use and sports facilities?

We as a community NEED the school to be a community hub / sports leisure centre to keep Inverkeithing as a community. Something similar to Michael Woods Sports & Leisure Centre in Glenrothes would be great with a swimming pool to encourage others in neighbouring towns to travel here. A modern gym with plenty of space (unlike dalegty bay), classes such as yoga, tennis and squash courts, football pitches for the local team. Space for locals to host hobbies, crafts, language lessons etc would be beneficial. Also, some retail space for local retailers such as coffee shop, cafe, small shop etc would keep locals spending time and money within the community. Even units for local gyms such as boxing. yoga etc to rent / hire would be beneficial for local businesses and even the school could benefit. The high street in Inverkeithing is very run down and embarrassing so if the high school is lost this town will have nothing and won't grow ever again. The high street has so much potential if some tlc went into it, a restaurant / cafe like Louie Brown's would be great, interior shop, a modern pub with snacks would provide for the expanding community and utilise the trade missed by locals getting on / off the train in Inverkeithing. We as a community need to be more welcoming to change and welcoming to new people into the community to make Inverkeithing thrive.

Mix - leisure/development Mix,

Keep the community centre, demolish the school entirely, build some housing and shops. Nothing worth listing. Community sports use either existing or new building. Very limited commercial use ok but no more residential.

The site should be use for community use only. Partial development, remodeling is acceptable but no housing Swimming pool is a must have

The site should be demolished. Its listing by Historic Scotland is unlikely to be sustainable in the long term, as it loses its function as a school. Continued community use is important as the town shouldn't lose important sports facilities

Partial demolition yes but only if this supports community use/sports facility access. Nobody cares that it looks like a tractor, it's an eyesore! The site should be developed for sports facilities, a new leisure built here NOT Rosyth!

No more housing, Inverkeithing does not have infrastructure in place for it.

Housing/commercial development would be great if the sports and community facilities could be retained or replaced I think retaining the swimming pool could be a losing battle

Yes to all the above. Should've been a replacement school though, very sad and short sited. As above

The site should be developed to maintain a community-use element as its primary purpose. I have no objections to the entirety of the high school being demolished in order to make full use of the site for the benefit of the community. Perhaps limited residential development on the site to cross-subsidise the building of a community sports hub would both (a) satisfy our need for housing in Inverkeithing, and (b) ensure the retention of a community sporting hub in the town for the benefit of the local area and the multiple youth and sporting groups that need facilities to enable clubs to run for the benefit of local families and specifically young people.

I'm sure it will end up as housing , but hope we could have a sports facility and swimming pool

Community use and sports provision The ground and wing is used for many sports, it is also the home of our football club Inverkeithing United and I know we are keen to keep our club here in the Inverkeithing community. Updating sports facilities, pitches and adding Astro pitches is our ideal solution. I know there are also many swimming clubs and other clubs within the wing and as a football club we also use the wing so would ideally like this area used to provide a community and sports centre for public and club use.

Some demolition is inevitable. Retain as a sport and recreation hub with pool.

I really think that the primary use for this site should be for the community but I also think we have to be practical and if some part of the site being developed for another purpose would support the community use then we should accept that. HOWEVER, it needs to be the right plan and the community need to be at the heart of it - not a plan for the benefit of the developer who manages to never quite deliver on agreed promises outside anything that brings them profits. I have mixed feelings aboit the building itself, I'm not particularly attached to it but also feel there could be parts of it that could be repurposed with the right thought behind it. It seems wasteful in this day and age to just demolish and rebuild. However there are parts of the school that really wont add to the aesthetic of any new plan so demolishing may be the only option for some of the buildings. My main point is that the school should, under no circumstances, be left to go derelict and become an eyesore waste ground that noone can use- it is so important for the community as a whole that something happens unlike the old primary school site

I think the sports and leisure hub should go ahead but preserve one of the circles possibly the one closest to the carpark the home ec block. Retain the assembly hall as it has great acoustics as a performance venue.

High school should have been fought for but as usual council does what it likes against the very people who pay to support it. Passed the negative this building should be mostly retained and refurbished to an extent for community use. As the wing a community hub that could house charity groups and local community gatherings as well as sports, arts and hobbies could rent for a small not for profit fee to the council so they can carry out their legit hobbies and share with others to engage local youths especially who at the moment have no prospects due to Inverkeithing having nothing to offer apart from overpriced new housing and no facilities for the above.Retain site for community use only and keeping housing moguls gaining out of the the community with no interest in the locals needs for amenities.

If possible buildings should be retained but should not be turned into a housing estate make it into a sports facility for the community

It should be used for community use. This area desperately needs to have the pool here. I would support any demolition that needs to happen. Would strongly oppose residential and

commercial development on this site. The community needs these sports fields and green spaces.

A development of the site to support continued community use and sports provision would be amazing.

Take it all down and build a sports centre. Why keep buildings to do nothing with, just look at the state of the old primary schoolThere is a real lack of space and availability of pitches for sports clubs site should be developed to promote active healthy activities for kids and adults. I am sure with the right brown envelope's it will just become more housing though.

A mix a commercial and housing, keeping and expanding on the community and sports use Additional housing with a good community use building with a swimming pool would be the best outcome for this site. There would need to be affordable housing for first time buyers, and the community use building should have provision for hall and room hire, not necessarily all focused on sports please! It's a large site with huge potential, I understand we will probably end up with some housing, but it would be good to retain as much of the fields and track as possible.

Would be fantastic to have this as a leisure centre with a pool. Further residential development would also be good for the area and perhaps retail too?

Financially it would make sense to offer part of the land for housing development if it allowed funds to make the majority of the space available for community use and sports provision. The building is an eye sore so I'd not be looking to keep any part that isn't necessary

The main high school building should be demolished but the community use building should be refurbished or rebuilt with more facilities available. The swimming pool must be kept/refurbished as there aren't currently enough pools to meet the demand for swimming lessons. A bigger pool would be better that would also allow for public swimming sessions alongside lessons.

Same facilities as Wood Centre in Glenrothes NOT HOUSING!

Continuation/development of sports facilities.

I agree with all of the above especially providing sports facilities

Use of wing and other areas for a sporting facility would be ideal for the area. Would help to bring more people to the town and use other facilities as well. Some of it used for either residential or commercial use would be alright but definitely keep the sporting facilities.

I'd like to see this developed into a community use sports centrelf the building is left while officials dither, someone will set it on fire

Keeping the school for community use would be welcome, although if some of the buildings need to be demolished and the space used for other developments, this would be sensible, as it is unlikely that all of the estate would be needed for community use. In particular, keeping the pool would be welcome.

The will of the people as you are well aware is a pool and sports complex. The real plans will have been done and dusted. Maybe a medical complex as well badly needed

I would like to see this used to improve community and sport space in the area. I'd quite like the building to remain, as the tractor design is well known in the area. I could accept some commercial development at this site, if it helped secure funding and was relevant to local needs. Gym and swim facilities in the area are much needed.

Community centre and keep pool

The site should be developed as a community multi use sports facility. Total demolition of the site should not be allowed but any buildings which have deteriorated beyond repair

should. Areas of the school buildings should be opened up for use by community groups and possibly a new medical centre given the amount of development in the area.

Community and sport facilty

Sports and community hub definitely the best option. Keeping some or all of the school buildings would be good. Some housing/commercial use would be fine if it helps fund the project.No

Leisure facility, pool(s) & other leisure activities such as climbing.

I think the building should be knocked down as it is an eye sore. Alternatively I do think the space should be converted into a sports/fitness facility as inverkeithing does not have that at all

This site needs to retain or have a new build community leisure use with a swimming pool. A mini version of the Michael Woods Centre is the least we should expect.

Support demolition of the high school with architecturally significant sections retained. Would be good to have a larger gym/community use pool.

Demolish and create sports facilities. If to be funded by residential development then these facilities should large, modern and high end. It's ugly.

I do not have any strong feelings about this site there is already a small community use ballast bank which is under used !? One Hub not many ?!

Again, I do not think we have the infrastructure or services to facilitate further residential properties. I do think the loss of a community hub and sports facility would be of huge detriment to the town. Therefore I would hugely support the development of sporting facilities here.

I find it difficult that this site can be built on when it was supposed to be so contaminated that it was not fit to have the new school built there.

A community hub for sports and leisure should be retained, including the pool, for use by inverkeithing and Dalgety Bay residents. If this meant the demolition of the school site fine so long as replaced by sympathetic building to accommodate community facilities I don't have an objection to housing, and perhaps a small provision of commercial (a small local shop or cafe etc) but no large scale commercial again to protect inverkeithing high street

I think sport hub would be great. you see how popular dalgety bay and pitrevie is. Maintaing a public swimming pool would be good as you can barely use carneigie during the week due to swim clubs. As for keeping or knocking down the school I am for the latter, you see so many old building that cannot be developed sitting as eye soars for years, the old primary school a prime example. Again a large area so residential areas could be incoporated. Also i think infrastructure like new doctors and dentist should be included. Especially if all areas were getting a good amountvof new houses.

Development continued community use, new swimming pool and recreation facilities

Sporting facilities must be retained including swimming pool to serve local areaSporting facilities are paramount, pool essential. Green area, new park

Knock down the school altogether and make a sports hub for Inverkeithing. Something to keep the kids off the street. Develop it for the children

High should be completely demolished - create a new sports complex (Football pitches, Rugby pitches, Tennis courts, 5 A Side pitches and a running track etc) for everyone.

keep the existing facilities for sports and community use, they can be improved over time it doesnt need to be redeveloped. Community use and social enterprise space should be considered for example the kitchens, hall and stage, dining areas could become a good hub/resteraunt, music venue, pantos and the like. main classroom areas should go unless.

the playfields could be crucial in the future, once the ballast bank is flooded and no use, it will be the only place left to hold events like highland games, football, running, galas etc

demolish the eyesore and build a sports centre

Just sell the land to Taylor Wimpey and get more houses built With a housing emergency it's important to get homes built on the site

Limited development to fund the site development for community useThe site should develop beyond sports and should be a community site

Continued community use

It absolutely should become a sports facility. Have no feelings either way about the current buildings being retained- whatever is the most cost effective. Any residential would have to be extremely limited.

Conserving the building and maintaining the sports elements would seem the immediate priority, but I would look to expand that, with arts, crafts, skills and any non-academic development, learning (e.g. painting, glass, textiles) this could transform much of the building spaces into working spaces, as well as exhibition spaces. With the correct balance of facilities (conferences, etc) it would certainly be a good offering to both Fife and the Lothians (there's nothing like that in the central belt).

I'd like to see as a community, sport and leisure center.

Happy for most solutions as long as we can keep sports facilities and community use I support the demolition of all the buildings on the site except the Wing which should be retained and upgraded. I'd have no issues with part of the site being allocated for housing and sold to cross subsidise sporting and community development on the remainder of the site.

Flatten it. Build houses for council

Definitely should be used for community use, gym & swimming pool especiallyRecreational classes during the day & early evening

A community centre, adult learning, night school

Total Demolition of the existing high school (with the exception of the community wing) as no doubt once it is vacated it will attract all sorts I vandalism and drug den use then no doubt arson attack! History repeating itself - look what happened to the the old Primary School!! New sports amenities in addition to the existing facilities.

While I understand that C listed buildings are important at the same time they can be costly to maintain so would not object to some demolition of the current site I am however in strong support for some of the exsiting facilities being used for the public sports halls, swimming pool etc.

Not residential keep community use

Please no more houses... build something with a bit of SOUL in it. Community development, gardens, educational facilities... ANYTHING but houses. Exceptional landscaping- could be turned into a botanical garden?

Anything is better than leaving it abandoned and waiting for it to get burned down. Could be a sports centre. Houses, supermarket, woodland area/walk, community garden, Even just demolishing it and leaving it as a field would be preferable to doing nothing.

Progressed into a multi sports arena with a decent swimming pool, soft play for young babies and children Please no more houses, Inverkeithing can't cope with new house's opposite the school. Infer structure isn't suitable and the volume of traffic and pollution must have increased in the area.

Demolish the building and develope it into a proper community hub with pool and fitness facilities. Need to upgrade pool and community use facilities.

100% demolition. Move the community use facility to papermill site. Mix of housing and light industry. The site requires good drainage though.

Use for localmcommunity, demolish the school because it would end upmlike the old orimaryDemolish it.

Continued community use/sports area should be supported with any development carried out, demolition of some of the buildings would be acceptable and development of the site for some social housing or private could be acceptable as there is already development opposite this area. Health and social care infrastructure should be considered in any large scale developments. Some of the building should be retained with perhaps community consultation with post- attendees getting a say in which areas stay.

Total demolition of High School and "Wing". Develop a park and nature green area to east of High School with walkway path down under bridge to Hillend village path. Retain green area to West side of Nursery/Primary.

This should be retained and developed as community and leisure use. Buildings which can be retained should be retained for indoor community sports and cultural use including dance, drama/theatre/ cinema. Also digital facilities and developments. Should definitely not be used for industrial or residential housing. Spare ground after securing community use should be made available for arable playground/community orchard/ woodland

Unlikely to find a new occupier to use existing buildings giving the current maintenance issues. Sports facilities much supportedSupport mixed uses including resi

Demolish it all, there's nothing of value in the building. Try and maintain the community centre and pool. Build shops, houses, retail, whatever you like. Community use should be retained. Develop the rest.

A sports centre with pool would be amazing and much needed in inverkeithing. The high school if possible should be completely demolished leaving the wing and tennis courts for upgrade. The playing feeled with track should be left for nursery and primary school use.

Very limited development would be acceptable - provided the existing buildings are retained and improved. The community and sports facilities, including the swimming pool, should absolutely be kept. The swimming pool needs to be retained and upgraded, especially since Inverkeithing, Rosyth, Dalgety Bay and Aberdour are all expanding with new housing developments.

Community use and sports. Demolish existing school

There needs to be a building for community use and sports facilities are essential. It is no use having an empty building there once the school moves out. An empty building would soon be a target like the primary school was. Partial demolition might be best .Have a sports hub where Inverkeithing Swifts FC could return to Inverkeithing if they wish.

Demolition for housing is okay to me.

Full support for community and sport facilities—Inverkeithing needs a council gym. Would support limited commercial development to meet this aim but not residential, as there has already been plenty in that area. Would support demolition of the building.

No more housing

The aerial view of the High school is iconic but not everyone is aware of it. I have heard there might be an issue with asbestos so that could be a problem if total demolition is planned. Please.... no more residential development. Upgrading the "Wing" would be a definite plus for me. Please don't get rid of the swimming pool

I would like the site to be retained for community use/ sports use/ Swimming pool. I think the school should be demolished.

It should be demolished. The sports area kept and remaining buildings used for a new Gp surgery to replace IK and Dalgety Bay surgeries which are at capacity

Keep as much if the school as possible but definitely keep the "Wing" for swimming pool and other sports.

4.3 Old Primary School Site

Consultation Question

The Old Primary School site is privately owned and has been the subject of a number of proposals for both private housing and social housing, including a co-housing initiative. Prior to a fire onsite, there were two listed structures. The main building has since been de-listed while the remaining H-block remains a listed building.

What would you like to see happen to the Old Primary School site? e.g.

- Would development of the site for housing (either private or social rented) be acceptable?
- o Would commercial development be acceptable?
- Would demolition of the remaining older building on the site (known as the H-block and currently C-Listed by Historic Scotland) be acceptable?

Just get it over with. Knock it down and let someone develop the land. Just get it over with. Knock it down and let someone develop the land.

Demolish the fire pit, housing, retail, commercial, anything

This site should be cleared (demolished)and allow for primary commercial use

It would be good to retain as much of the existing structure as possible. Commercial use as offices or artist studio spaces should be explored. While housing would be acceptable, some model for commercial use would be preferable to support wider town regeneration

A community buy out and development plan is needed. This is the heart of the town, anything on this site should have as much of the existing stone used and the history of the site told. Would be interesting to know if the old air raid bunker opposite St Peters Church has been investigated too.

I don't have any views about this. Housing or commercial. I don't mind it being demolished I moved to the area after the school was closed so I have no emotion attachment to the building

Yes. Regeneration of this brownfield site is needed. Desperately sad that it was left to go into dereliction and inevitably anti/social behaviour and a fire. As above

Any development of this site would be a positive step whether it be private or social housing. Demolition of the exisiting building is okay if it means development can occur.

Pull it down it's a total sight, build council houses and houses for single people/ disabled housing

Wished this site had never been left to vandals and set fire to. At this point demolition now seems like the only reasonable optionThere was a rumour that an 'outdoor nursery' facility was possibly being made in this space, I would definitely be on board with it being used for something that benefits the community like this.

Redevelop as housing, retain any facades which can be saved.

It would be lovely if the shell could be used and some residential flats or some form of small commercial could be developed within the existing historic shell. I appreciate the problem here is costs and developers want max profit for min cost but it should be possible to do something with the site. Leaving it as it is shouldn't be an option something should be done. I feel the listed parts should be kept and built unto the design of whatever is done with the site.

Both buildings must be preserved and restored to a structurally sound standard Once restored i feel that this building should be used for the community it is a focal point of the town and many people would love to see it restored.

Use existing shell to use for affordable council housing flats.

Turn it into a green space i know it would be a lot of work but but surely INVERKEITHING deserves it

Demolition or restoration are fine. Inverkeithing needs more social housing.

A commercial development would be great, again, the roads will be very busy if there's going to be a residential usage. I'm all for building new houses as theyy badly needed, but need to consider how busy it is as of now in rush hours. The ambulance won't even have proper space to pass through. As above.

Either build some residential buildings or knock it down

Demolish it all and some elderly housingThis has been going on for far to long. Its an absolute eyesore and needs to be sorted. It's just another embarrassment to Inverkeithing

This would be a good opportunity for council or social rented housing. My daughter is looking for a flat; her private rent has mould and the window is falling in; has been since last May! There's no way she will get a council house, and Trying to get another private rent is ridiculous. The site could be prone to anti social behaviour, if housing is approved, given its enclosed space.

It's currently an eye sore and needs to be ether knocked down or developed. Not fussed about what goes in it's place but I local to this area and it should be treated as urgent for public safety reasons

Ideal for housing, there are lots of examples in Edinburgh where old buildings have been incorporated into design, so don't think there is a need to demolish the whole thing to do this.

Housing

Needs demolished. Should have been kept open originated kids from that end went there. 2 primary schools in Dalgety Bay and 4 in Rosyth, yet Inverkeithing have 1Not your property to decide

Wouldn't like to see industrial on this site, just residential. It is such an eyesore and attracts vandals. It would be excellent if the H block could be preserved. Such a tragedy the old school was left derelict.

Social housing

Site needs to just be used for something. It's an eyesore and a shame to be left just to get worse and worse each year. No need to keep any of it.

Commercial or residential use, retaining the listed part of the building

The building is now in no fit state to be preserved sadly as Fife Council left it derelict for too long. The building should now be cleared away and the plot used for housing.

Should have been developed years ago incorporating the listed parts. Been an eyesore locals. A magnate for miscreants.

I would like to see some commercial development here and as much of the original building to be retained as feasible.

Redevelopment

The site should be cleared and used for mixed residential use. The front facade of the old building should be incorporated into a housing development as it is an integral part of the town's identity.

Absolutely no more houses. No more houses.

Social rented housing would be the best option with some way to retain the H block which certainly should not be knocked down. Perhaps the H block could become some sort of social hub. Preferably not commercial use. Road access would be difficult.

There is a need for social housing and this site would be perfect.

Private renting would be acceptable or a mix or residential and retail (similar concept to that at Rosyth)

Commercial development. Inverkeithing has too much social housing.

Housing would be acceptable hate to see it crumble away for no reason

I feel it is sad what has happened here but it has now become a bit of an eye sore. Again, residential property would concern me due to facilities and amenities. However perhaps something with some local craft units for small businesses or small retail units or similar.

Demolish the school shall off to private builder and build affordable housing Possibly turn into an old folks home

I would be happy for housing to be built in this area, and for the demolition of the building. No industrial or commercial in a large scale

Absolutely any use to get it looking less ugly.

I am not against anything being done here it has sat rotting away for years and needs to be redevelopped anyway possibile.

Following fire demolition is probably required. Redevelopment of site under council control. Housing

Housing, the of folks complex

Old Primary School should be completely demolished, and develop for private and social housing.

be good to see the site used as long as roads/access is improved at same time anything that keeps something of the old building

Demolish what is left and sell to a developer for flats Like so many other areas of the town, a lack of vision has meant the area has been left to rot for years. Affordable flats and houses could have been built there years ago

I fear keeping the existing building will hold back the site and we will be awaiting development 10 years from now

Development of the site for affordable housing

It should be demolished and residential buildings put in place. It is disappointing that it has been allowed to remain in such a state for so long.

I genuinely wanted to see the old site, and especially the building, being conserved. However, I can't see how that would be a viable proposition to either commercial or residential now. A sympathetic development, that restores the facade, may be the only option. The challenge will be to get the balance right (density and mix of usage) right. There needs to be more done to get recompense from the old owners, who both neglected and ultimates failed the building. If not through companies, but of the individuals. Looking forward, I think there's an opportunity for some really innovative designs / usage, yet fitting into the area.

Housing would be nice.

Housing or commercial, possibly more parking for the high street?

This site should be for Council Housing - possibly being developed in conjunction with the Roods site. I support full demolition of all the buildings on the site.

Flatten it. Social housing

Building should be demolished & affordable housing builtIt's an eyesore at the moment & must be riddled with vermin also encourages the seagulls to nest

Housing The H block could be renovated perhaps for social community centre in the top end of the town. The main school should be demolished it is dangerous

Anything is better than the mess it is right now. It's a total disgrace

Definitely demolition of the whole existing structure. It's an eyesore and needs replaced with new social housing to enhance this residential area. Historical listing is totally useless for this project as no developer is going to afford to comply with highly constrained requirements. Let bygones be bygones! Time for new progress in our town!

Social housing - mid market rent raise your standards

Any and all additional housing proposals for Inverkeithing are UNACCEPTABLE. The town, indeed the whole region, lacks a heart, a community hub, a place to relax, a place that's not purely residential. Please be more imaginative than suggesting housing. The world is full of inspiration.

housing or commercial use is fine with me

Keep some of the building as an area of local interest and the rest as a dedicated green space with areas to sit in No more houses, possible social and recreational area

Converted into residential units. The area is largely residential so it would work converted into residential units.

Yes, demolition. For housing.

Flaten the site and use for something instead of an eyesore

Whatever happens it needs to be made safe. Again it would be a good place for social housing perhaps for elderly as it has lovely views however continued consultation with former attendees would be appreciated.

Demolish whole site and build council housing for rent and private housing for sale

Yes this could be used for residential and include small personal services units such as

hairdressing/beauty, laundromat, coffee shop.Private housing if council housing can be developed on Roods.

Avoid demolition if possible. Conversion to residential

Demolish it, build whatever Do it quickly, it's a mess

A park or green area

Listed buildings should be retained at all times, that is why they are listed. I would hope to see a sympathetic redevelopment into housing could be achieved, ideally with some as social rented housing, whilst maintaining the listed status.

Development of housing

Thus building needs to be demolished. I don't see much point in keeping the H-block either. A park area would be acceptable.

Demolition of the site and building on it of residential homes.

Housing unacceptable - already a high density area for housing. Commercial acceptable. Good to retain the C listed structure. Even in the current state it looks great and adds interest to the skyline so retaining this would be good

Pull it down and build a supermarket

Unfortunately, this is an eyesore and a target for vandals. Anything to upgrade this site would be welcomed.

I would like the whole building demolished and houses built on the land as it is currently an eyesore.

Demolish all and have council housing

No residential possibly commercial but would need to be proper vehicle access and not be to much interruption to the current houses. Demolition the areas that need to be demolished and keep the listed part of the building.

4.4 Roods Site

Consultation Question

The Roods area is owned by Fife Council and is currently allocated for housing in the existing Local Development Plan. Fife Council has long terms plans to develop the site for new Council housing.

What would you like to see happen to the Roods site? e.g.

- Would development of the site for housing be acceptable? (either private or social rented housing)
- o Would commercial development be acceptable?

Yes and yes

More housing is fine, bash on

This site should not be used for housing but to develop green space and commercial value into

I think this area should be left as green space for resident use. There are already multiple other sites available and in development for housing and reduction in open green space is not desirable

Retain as public parkland. Develop paths and allotments.

Either

Yes. It's in the LDP so principle of development already accepted.

Social house is needed in the town and if this land is going to be used for housing it should be social and not private.

Houses for council tenants

If there has to be housing I think social rented housing is much more needed than more private housing in the local community.

Advance the Council plan.

Why do we need to lose a large green open space in our community. We have just been asked about development on 3 sites that we're already built upand could be re purposed rather than left derelict - surely these existing area can be used to tick the 'fife council housing quota' box without building on an area of greenery in a fairly densely populated area. I disagree with the council for designating this area for council housing and would object to planning being pushed through for housing in this area. We have already lost the area opposite the high school, the coastal path / quarry area is under threat from ridiculously large housing proposals and there are other areas that can and should be used first.

I feel housing would be acceptable here

Build affordable housing and community allotments

Houses are not acceptable please leave some green spaces in Inverkeithing

This area needs the green space.

Housing would be acceptable if there would be other 2 roads to exit towards the main street. Also some traffic calming road bumps would be great on Deas Road. Whatever will be built, the traffic will be a key factor. As above.

Neither. Leave it as it is, without additional schooling and doctors surgery, dentist the local area doesn't have the infrastructure for more housing

Some affordable housing perhaps with a play park

This needs to be retained as green space. There are three significant areas for housing, this space needs to be retained! Why do we need to develop every green space?

This area should be kept as green space and no development should be considered. The road infrastructure is in adequate around this area and to increase vehicle volume would be madness.

Good quality housing is what is needed and it's a good area to do that.

This should be kept as green space

Very much support housing, but ensuring some green areas as it is used a bit for walking - possibly similar to beside Forth View. This has been left to become such a mess for so long, it attracts vandals and also people dumping rubbish.

Housing

Can the town support more housing without facilities like the doctor's surgery being improved?

This site is a haven for wildlife and it would be terrible if it was all cleared away for housing. With so many other sites in Inverkeithing, I have very strong objections to this being used for housing, or commercial use.

Just build the council houses which have been promised for yearsIf you don't it's a gift to the freeport

I think more social green spaces are needed within the community and this would be a good space for that.

Keep as is

Mixed use housing would be the best for the site.

No more houses

Definitely council housing with limited private housing if this would help fund project. Not commercial property. Road access would be difficult.

I think this site would be good for housing

Council housing.

A small amount of residential or commercial would be acceptable so long as accommodations and care were taken for the wildlife there currently

leave it as green space.

Would like to see it kept as see lots of dear use area

If property is to go ahead it has to be affordable rentals as people are crying out for this in the wider area

There is a housing shortage. Inverkeithing is an ideal commuter town. Either build houses or make a decent car park fir the station

Acceptable so long as adequate parkland and green space be retained for use by residents

Make it into a nature reserve, make paths more official.

Not sure about this site housing could be fine but keeping some greenery parks gardens could be nice too.

Housing and Doctors surgery

Retain part green space part housing. Retaining some green space essential.

Allotments / parking

This area should be left only - with so many people using this area for walking (nature trail), dog walking, and the added bonus of seeing various wild animals; it would be a same to do away with this site.

keep it as green space, tree planting

I would like to stay undeveloped and maybe plant trees in the area for the local wildlife

We need housing rather than commercial

Development for affordable housing

Private housing (with limited social housing).

Even as a private home owner, I recognise that social housing has to be the priority. There has to be a way of attracting investment in social housing, without it being seen as a drain or blight.

Housing, a community greenspace/play area

The Roods site has been allocated for housing for decades. It should be utilised for Council housing - possibly in tandem with the development of the Primary School site

Social housing

None. Allotments

Affordable housing Park land & play park for children who live in the middle of the town

Don't knowThere's a lot of wildlife there so where do they go deer foxes etc

No, keep it as a green space. It's a lovely park with a good view. More maintenance and development on the green space could be an option to make it more usable to the community for example paths and flower beds, benches etc

Definitely development for housing and a medium sized retailer to provide healthy competition for Scotmid!!Maybe even a restaurant/bar facility incorporated in the development?

Use for retail amenities and decent supermarket

Commercial or residential development would NOT BE ACCEPTABLE. Roods is an already insufficient green zone which acts as a buffer zone and is habitat to many different kinds of animals. Just because an area doesn't have houses on it doesn't mean it's wasted, vacant space. Please consider performing a thorough environmental survey- the presence of bats suggests that this is a valuable and dynamic woodland area.

If this were to be used for housing then additional park areas would need to be added in some of the locations listed above.

It is crucial to have open field areas to go for walks without having to drive somewhere else. I think it's unacceptable to turn all of these sites into housing without adding the other amenities required for a decent standard of living.

I don't think industrial or commercial will suit this area.

Do not develop this. Allow creation of a wild area, returning to nature. Plant new trees No Let it be for nature to take over as there are too many sites being developedNo

It's such a lovely green space but quite small. Developed for social housing would be best if any development to happen on it. With holding back some space for children's play park and some green space for residents. The area around this is already very developed so it's a shame to lose this space although I'm not sure how well used it is by walkers.

Build council housing for rent and a park and green area for children and adults

This should be used for council housing provision as originally planned with accompanying green playback area. All housing developments should include wild green space play area and 2 units for service facilities.

Mainly resi, including substantial social housing. Don't need much commercial here as will detract from high street. Maybe just a shop unit at the bottom of a flatted blockMore allotments in this site??

Develop whatever - although it's a tad steep, so a park would suffice

Development of some but not all of the site would be acceptable for housing - the more mature tree and shrub areas should be kept. As in all housing developments, the buildings should be tasteful and not a bland template of all other housing developments. They should be in keeping with the environs.

This area is home to a big variety of wild life. It also greatly benefit local community providing place to exercise and other daily activities. Developing another social housing in this spot will create another concrete jungle having detrimental impact on the current community mental wellbeing. Local infrastructure is currently also not capable of supporting further growth as there is na road access to the area. Development of housing or considering commercial development is absolutely not acceptable for this location. There are better locations in and around the area for development than this one. This area should have its designation changed. This area is in the heart of Inverkething. Let it stay as it is. Inverkething does not want to follow steps of other Fife town where expansion increased problems like higher crime rate, difficult access to GP, no place in schools and overall decrease in quality of life of current population.

Housing

Private or social housing would be acceptable.

Any development.

This should be retained as greenspace, no development is acceptable

No houses more for community

Not really familiar with this area but I'm sure the 'powers that be' will have something planned. Didn't realise it was such a big area so, maybe a country park theme - or is that being too ambitious?

I would like the area left as it is- a natural space in the townLack of access to this site.

Housing

No more houses until the infrastructure can take it. More doctors, dentists schools and fully operational hospital is required before houses can be built.

4.5 Rosyth Dockyard Branch Line

Consultation Question

The Rosyth Dockyard Branch Line between Inverkeithing and Rosyth is owned by Network Rail and has been disused for many years. The line is not identified in the current Local Development Plan but there is an action in Fife's Local Transport Strategy to investigate the feasibility of upgrading the line to improve rail freight access to the proposed Forth Green Freeport, with the action being 2-4 years.

What would you like to see happen to the Rosyth Dockyard Branch Line?

- o If the Forth Green Freeport bid is successful, would development of the line for freight be a good use of the line?
- Would development of the line as a biodiversity corridor/nature trail and active travel route be acceptable in the interim or if the freight route is not progressed?

| General Comment | Should it be a freight line if Green Free Port happens | Should it be nature trail/activ travel route |
|---|---|--|
| | Yes | |
| Open it back up. Just given themselves more work stopping people walking the route. | Yes | Yes |
| Sure upgrade the line if useful | Do it anyway | Terrible idea |
| | | Yes |
| In the light of new high school there is a need for new connection bike line for school children | | It would be the best option |
| If not linked to a Green Freeport, open for bike and pedestrian use | | Yes, absolutely in favour of this |
| Re-open it and offer a passenger service to the dockyard as well as for freight. | Yes | Yes |
| No opinion | | Yes |
| Reinstatement of rail line or reuse into a cycle / walking/wheeling path or green lung. | Would be great if line could be reinstated and used for both freight and passengers with a path alongside as a sustainable transport route to access those jobs and would allow pupils and staff another route to access the remotely located new high school site. | As above, absolutely. |

| | <u> </u> | |
|--|--|--|
| of Fife and encourage people to spend time | Yes - the development of the Freeport would boost economic activity in Fife/our | |
| outdoors and be active. | town. | Yes |
| If it's never going to be used just remove it | Yes | Remove the hideous broken flower / weed beds down by the harbour |
| There was talk of it being a walkway/cycle track which would tie in with a nature trail idea. | | Nature trail in that area sounds a great use of the space, can be another extension of a coastal path |
| Upgrade as planned if that is commercially sustainable. | Yes. | Yes. |
| I know very little about this idea and would want more info before commenting in more depth but my jnitial feeling is that re-instating freight train traffic through Inverkeithing would not be my preferred use of this line | I need more information before feeling I could comment in detail but there is an existing transport network that could be used and industrialising the old railway line again given its location within Inverkeithing and its potential to impact on what could be positive developments within the town mean that my initial reaction to this is not favourable | Yes |
| I would like to see the line back and functional again with running freight services to the dockyard | It would be great for running freight trains to the dockyard | Yes but would rather see it as at active rail line |
| Open the line back up and use it as a freight hub as mentioned. Network rail have no interest in this line hence the state of it at present. | | Better leaving it as is. Antisocial behaviour will increase if you try and improve this away from being a railway branch. |
| | Yes it would be acceptable I live along this line Glebe Park | If its not bringing jobs yes a Nature trail would be great |
| | Yes | This is something the community needs. |
| Really depends, comments at next section. | Before agreeing on anything please make a study on the future usage of a line for freight. How used it's going to be? How much real interest is there. | A nature trail would be amazing until you will find out how feasible a freight line is. Hopefully there will be a proper plan made for this with won't be easy at all. Just so it won't be money out the window. |

| | Yes | Exactly what it should be |
|--|--|--|
| | Yes | - |
| ļ. | I don't see why not? It was when I was younger. Although that will eradicate all the wilding space, so provision should be made for that. Although it probably won't be. We can't officially use it as a | Absolutely |
| asphalt isn't filling me with joy. | path at the moment, and it is private land. | Big yes to this. |
| No particular thoughts on this | private faria. | Yes, this does sound more positive |
| Cycle path or walk way | Yes but only if | 100% yes |
| | | Yes, this would be good as a nature trail for walking/cycling |
| | Yes | Yes |
| | Yes | It would be a shame to lose the potential of the rail line being used again. |
| Inverkeithing needs more recycling points and perhaps a supermarket | Yes | I like this one and perhaps a walkway and cycle path |
| Make into cycle path/walkway. | | Yes |
| Nature walkway sounds lovely. Again another eyesore in the town. | Better to use what is there instead of building a new line so yes. | Yes |
| They can't even run the existing train lines properly, rather focus on them | I don't know enough about the demand for freight lines | Yes |
| Nobody in their right mind supports a freeport. | | |
| Freeports are a con. | Pie in the sky nonsense | Turn into nature trail |
| I would have some concerns about noise with regard to freight lines in such residential areas. We need better rail transport for local residents on evenings and weekends as the priority. | Not sure, I worry it may cause issues for residents | A nature trail would be my preffered use of the space. |

| Make it's a cycle walking path | J | Yes very much |
|---|---|--|
| The line should be incorporated into the Fife coastal path or as part of the pilgrim way. | There would be no financial gain to any local businesses if it was developed for freight it would only be a through route. | Yes |
| Make it a cycle walking path | would only be a timough route. | Yes |
| Tidied up and maintained | | Yes it would make a good |
| properly. | Yes I think so. | walkway. |
| | | This is a good idea |
| No real opinion on this site. | | Yes |
| the area. The site already has good road and sea links but adding rail would entice more contract work to the site, providing more jobs and commerce in the area. | Yes absolutely. The forth green freeport is poised to deliver sustainable, inclusive growth across the whole of central Scotland. We are on the cusp of re-industrialisation through renewable energy - having a working railway line would hugely benefit renewable/wind customers seeking a low carbon solution to transporting large sections of wind turbines and offshore modules. | |
| No more train traffic through Inverkeithing. Let the space become green space to support wildlife. | No. And Freeport's are corrupt. | Yes. |
| Shame it was not kept clear - now this would she a big job either way! | Yes | Yes |
| Either a wildlife walk way or use as a train line again No | Yes | Yes |
| Yes it would be a great way to reduce the number of heavy lorries on the road | Absolutely | It would be a good way to improve the current coastal path |
| Reopened for freight transportation to reduce trucks on the roads | Yes if it resulted in a reduction of trucks on the current road system | Yes |
| Any use. | Yes. | Yes |
| | I am not sure what would be involved in the freeport site we don't see a lot of train freight anymore would developing it be sustainable i am not sure. | Yes i this could be a good use of the space. There is a small path along the side of it but it is man made and not very good. A more accessable maintained path would be better. |

| No | Yes |
|---|--|
| | Good use of existing flat |
| Only as a last resort. | space. |
| | |
| | |
| no | yes |
| Yes | Yes |
| Unknown | Yes |
| | |
| Yes | Yes |
| Possibly, but that would face hurdles with networks and operators (who would no doubt what significant guarantees). | Absolutely! |
| No. | Yes |
| | Yes |
| Yes. | It would. The route of the line should be safeguarded. |
| | No |
| | Definitely |
| 100 | 20mmory |
| Yes | Yes |
| | |
| | |
| Yes | No, the coastal path and route provides this! |
| | no Yes Unknown Yes Possibly, but that would face hurdles with networks and operators (who would no doubt what significant guarantees). No. Yes. Should be a mix Yes Yes |

| | Oonsaltation i maings | |
|--|---|--|
| | | I think this would be an excelent use of this route |
| | No | Yrd |
| Definitely worth keeping this line for future use on a Freeport. | Probably not as good as turning it into a cycle path. | This is a wonderful idea and would be a positive use of the line, which has resulted itself thoroughly in the unused years. |
| | Drobobly yes | Yes, absolutely. But it must be maintained after it's |
| | Probably, yes. | made. |
| | No | Yes perfect idea |
| An exceptional and low- impact way to transform the walking and cycling links in the Fife coastal path to link Inverkeithing and ferrytoll. Currently only used by the bold and the brave, there is a narrow passageway behind the old paper mill that is currently being used. Recognise your desire lines!Check for habitats; many animals now call this place home. Incorporation of a wild, untouched buffer zone would be wise. | If successful, yes it would be feasible. | I would prefer a walking and cycling trail. |
| Turn it into a walkway that leads to the paper mill site and beyond. | Yes | No |
| Try to turn it into public walk way | Yes. | No |
| Turn it into a cycle path like the West Fife Way between Dunfermline and Alloa. | Yes - | Yes |
| Reinstatement of this line to remove lorries from the road. If Rosyth freeport is to succeed, good rail connections are essential. | Yes | Yes. But no motor vehicle or motor cycle access. Pedal cycles only with suitable safe segregation between pedestrians/cyclists. Should also suit use of wheelchairs. |
| Use as branch line for frieght and take some lorries off the road. | | Again this is secondary to plans for development of forth ports. No money should be extended until these traffic plans are known and formulated. |

| | Consultation Findings | |
|---|---|--|
| I think this sounds like a great idea if it would be | | |
| possible. Otherwise network | | |
| rail should look at making | | |
| this safe for community use | | |
| and it could be used as a | | |
| walkway Can we consider | | |
| noise, times of day when | | |
| freight would be moved etc | NIa | Vaa |
| for local residents | No | Yes |
| | Sounds goods | No |
| Maintain it as wild area until forth ports port development is approved/developed. It is vitally important that community facilities and cultural facilities are maintained and provided. Green area, woodland and services support are crucial to maintaining Inverkeithing as a viable town/village and not an Edinburgh or Rosyth | | |
| dormitory. | | Yes |
| | No, absolutely not. Personally, | |
| Cycle/walking path to rosyth | I am absolutely against there being a Forth "Green" "Free"port. | Yes, this would be very much appreciated by the local community. |
| Anything | 1.100 poin | local community. |
| Bike path | | |
| • | | |
| This should be available for recreation. Tidy and clean it up, with planting of native trees and shrubs to create a lovely route for cyclists, walkers and runners. Freeports benefit nobody in the local community, and we should not be trying to go down this route. We should be investing properly in existing infrastructure - invest properly in the Post of Rosyth, and make sure that Grangemouth refinery does not close. | No. Freight trains shouldn't be on that line. | Yes |
| Develop as part of the | | |
| coastal path | Yes | Yes |
| Coasiai paili | . 33 | |

| Lift the line. | Open it up | Yes |
|---|---------------------------|--|
| | | Definitely |
| Cycle path | Yes | Yes |
| No | Yes | Walking or cycling route to improve fife coastal path food interim measure |
| | If there is a requirement | Yes that would be good use |
| Use it or dig it up | | |
| Reinstate it if there is a requirement. | | |

4.6 Preston Hill Quarry Site

Consultation Question

Preston Hill Quarry is private land and is currently the subject of a planning application for 180 residential units, including the infilling of the quarry pond and removal of the quarry cliff face.

What would you like to see happen to the Quarry site?

- Should the area of Preston Hill Quarry and surrounding open space remain open space, or would some form of development be acceptable?
- Should the quarry pond be filled in or managed for recreation (e.g., scuba diving)

Remain as an open space and the quarry managed for recreation

The quarry is an eyesore and a dangerous area of derelict post industrial land. It would be great to restore it as a pleasant green space or woodland but we know that there is significant demand for housing. The Council should look to achieve a compromise with developers to do a bit of both. I completely accept this is a challenge given the greedy nature of market driven capitalism.

Yes fill in the Quarry Pond, develop the old paper mill with a hotel/restaurant

Maintain as open space

Managed for recreation and protect wildlife.

The quarry is the perfect place to have outdoor activity centre such as kayaking, paddle boarding etc. As it is on the coastal path with links to dalgety bay etc we can benefit from cafes, activities for children to get involved in, attractions to bring people to the area and spend time / money in the community. It's perfectly tucked away that live music etc wouldn't be a problem. In cities they utilise recycling shipping containers and stacking them in areas like this and each container can be rented out for cafes, restaurants, shops, yoga studios and live music is in the middle allowing local talent to emerge from there. This would be a great place to bring the community together. There's also plenty space for parking. As for the quarry keeping it full for things like scuba diving, paddle boarding, swan pedalows etc is a great idea. It's so far away from even the new development of houses that noise levels wouldn't be an issue.

The Quarry in its current 'open space' is dangerous and an eye sore. The Fife Coastal path is wonderful and has some incredible stretches but the area around the Quarry is the worst part. The whole area would benefit from any investment and personally I'd have no objection to a sports hub for abseiling, scuba diving etc or indeed filling in the quarry and it being used for residential properties if it meant investment in the area.

This should include the path that goes from Spencerfield over the hill to the coastal path.

This area should remain open space with adoption of quarry for recreation (open swimming).

Filled in

The surrounding areas of Preston Hill Quarry should remain open space and the quarry should be used for recreation.

The area around prestonhill quarry should remain green space and the pond should be managed for recreation such as scuba diving

The quarry should be maintained as a natural area available to the community and visitors alike and while safety improvements need to be made beyond tidying up it should be left as is a haven for wildlife.

The paper mill site should be available for housing to be built but the costal path should be routed along the coast.

Housing on the Mill site, not here.

Leisure/recreation/outdoor pursuits use for Prestonhill Quarry.

A nature reserve with facilities for the costal path! Inverkeithing can't cope with more houses!!

It should remain as open space. If there was to be development, it should be very limited. Quarry should not be filled- although should be secured better from an H&S perspective.

Development at papermill sit only.leave the rest alone.

I think the quarry should remain as open space and not filled in. Thought should be considered for a training place for local diving groups and maintaining the wildlife.

Managed recreation

Managed for recreation

Filled in

Yes

The Papermill site should be developed with a mix of residential & leisure facilities alongside a refurbishment of the harbour area.

Preston Hill Quarry would benefit from a mixture of development & open space to preserve local nature.

The Quarty pool should not be filled in, it could be incorporated into some form of recreational use.

Some form of development should help to improve this area, but it should be left largely as open space. The quarry pool should be filled in, or reduced in depth and size to make it safer?

I was in support of the previous application. In my view this is vacant and derelict dangerous land which needs regenerated. A proposal without housing to enable it is unviable. As a community I think we need to accept that some housing here is to be allowed to fund the regeneration of the wider site for community benefit, ie infilling or making safe the quarry, enhancing the open space offering, path connectivity etc.

Preston Hill Quarry should remain open space for recreation and nature. We live in between high populated areas and the quarry is the only open space next to the water. Not all open space should be changed for expensive residential housing for commuting to Edinburgh. The area is not suited for this expansion and even less so with the local high school disappearing.

I advocate for the development of the mill site for housing and commerce, envisioning a transformation that would enhance the appeal of Inverkeithing. This area holds significant potential to become a vibrant addition to our town.

As for the quarry site, it already serves as a cherished asset for both Inverkeithing and Dalgety Bay residents, offering recreational opportunities. Despite its designation as derelict land, the quarry is far from neglected, as evidenced by the ongoing process of ecological succession and the thriving wildlife inhabiting the area; local people, including myself and my wife, put a lot of time into cleaning waste left by those who have no respect for our environment. Introducing plans for development should incorporate provisions for enhancing its recreational potential, ensuring that any safety concerns are addressed while preserving its natural beauty for the enjoyment of all.

I don't agree with housing in the Quarry but I do agree for it to be filled in and made an open space. The paper mill should be developed with a mixture of housing shops and maybe a bigger medical centre also a promenade along the front for cycles and dog walkers alike.

Prestonhill Quarry: Prestonhill Quarry MUST REMAIN AS OPEN SPACE. We have so little open space that has been left for nature to reclaim, so we must make the most of the wonderful asset that is the quarry space. The space is incredibly valuable for local wildlife (including bats, frogs and birds), which in turn is valuable for Fifers as it gives us an area to learn about and connect with nature by seeing it and being out and about outdoors, rather than by hunching over an iPad searching for pictures of birds. Having this really unique reclaimed green space, and maintaining it as an environment where locals (and perhaps tourists) can get out into nature supports individuals' wellbeing (both mental and physical health and fitness), which supports a healthy population, ultimately having the benefit of reducing demand and thus required spending on healthcare services across Fife.

Ideally the quarry pool should be managed for recreation, as this would give South-West Fife residents a really unique opportunity to learn to dive, which would support health and wellbeing and would also help build awareness of water safety. I would also note that there is a much larger, more dangerous body of water next to the quarry (the Forth estuary), so it seems strange to propose filling the quarry pool in on safety grounds only. However, if it must be in-filled to maintain the open space for the local people then that would be acceptable over the site being developed. The cliff face is home to a number of bats, so this should be preserved (with netting if necessary).

The only development I would view as acceptable would be for publicly accessible facilities which are required to make the most of the site, such as a diving school or similar. Given how much wealth inequalities across Scotland (and the wider UK) have been exacerbated by Brexit and COVID, I would go as far to say that it would be immoral for the site to be developed for private use or housing. However, I could also see the benefit of adding a cafe or similar to the site, which would encourage tourists on the Fife Coastal Path to stop in Inverkeithing (and perhaps spend a bit of money here too!). Both of these options would also create local jobs.

Papermill site: Given the proximity to the train line, and that nature hasn't yet reclaimed it in the way it has Prestonhill Quarry, I would fully support this site being used to develop publicly accessible local resources, such as a youth club. This would tie in with preserving the Quarry - there has been negative press about teenagers "hanging around" the quarry and causing mischief. I would propose that, if this has occurred, this is due to teenagers simply not having any affordable third spaces to congregate at. Again, this loss of third spaces has been exacerbated due to COVID, so I think it is especially important to build a space for the young people of Inverkeithing so that they have a place to go and build friendships, and to learn important life skills.

Alternatively, given its visibility from the train line, a public garden might also be a nice way to make use of the site and provide locals with a scenic space to gather and build a sense of community.

Only for recreational use (walking, cycling, climbing, diving) if minimal environmental harm. Not for housing

Leave as it is.

The Quarry area could be developed something similar to St David's Harbour in Dalgety Bay. BUT the are up on the ridge should remain untouched for biodiversity and wildlife.

Remain Open Space

I think the quarry pool presents a particular problem, as it appeals to a specific group, but there's a reluctant / resistance to to invest in making in both a safe and viable facility. I would speculate that, the only attractive top ion for development (without significant grant monies) would be for infill and development for residential. Given the coastline, and South aspect, I can see that being appealing. Then challenge will then be in having a fully realised development (e.g. doesn't water down any commitments to Social Housing, provides additional fascilities, provides access).

The former papermill sight would be better set as mixed commercial / industrial use, given the aspect to the (active) quarry. Bring much needed employment into the immediate area would be a significant gain.

Managed for recreation without disturbing the wildlife and birdlife and maintaining open space throughout Preston Hill Quarry and the surrounding area.

Where as the Paper mill site is unused, unsightly and ideal for housing to improve the look of that area.

No, drained and protected yes

No it shouldnt let a scuba club etc use it and secure it

The Preston Hill Quarry site should remain open space for the public to use for sports and recreation as happens currently. The quarry pond should not be filled in, if it can be kept for recreational reasons such as scuba diving that would be acceptable as the current users respect the site and the nature around it.

Paper Mill site should be sold off for commercial use. Re the quarry area then pond should be filled in and houses built on the site

LEAVE THE QUARRY ALONE!!!It is the only calm place to go for a peaceful walk and enjoy nature. Stop building stuff!!! It is not necessary. Yes, people have died by stupidly jumping off the quarry but that is down to the parent and that persons unwise decisions. It is not natures fault. If that was the case then Mount Everest should be demolished and a Starbucks should be built there instead! The Papermill should be left alone too. Build a park like the Glen in Dunfermline. Make beautiful nature areas that Inverkeithing can be proud of. Use the High Street for building stuff...Farm Foods and the Hat & Rat pub has been an empty eye sore for YEARS! Do something with that, such as an arcade where kids can go and enjoy instead of being bored on the streets or an internet cafe. Don't build another chippy or fast food place or hair dressers or pub....there is enough on the High Street and we don't need another! Thank you for your time:)

If there is a way for the quarry to be used for diving that would be ideal. I think the open space should remain

It needs developed in some way as it is dangerous as is and attracts groups of youths in summer. Either residential or recreation is fine with me.

The pond would be great for recreational use as Inverkeathing would need this and it looks like a great opportunity for this.

Based on the housing market any any new built homes are in desperate need, but please take in consideration the congestion that it would cause in peak times, and the Inverkeathing Medical Group's capacity to intake more patients. A commercial development in the Preston Hill Quarry would be maybe better, would offer few more jobs, grocery shops are needed as well for example.

I think that this would be great for recreation and should remain open land. If you look around Fife and Edinburgh they have made great use of quarries and it could create jobs and bring in visitors to the area - scuba diving and rock climbing perhaps

Managed for recreation

I think some development is necessary, as the quarry looks very run down. However, the area is part of the popular coastal path, so it would be nice to see this space kept open and managed better for recreation, perhaps with a cafe space and outdoor seating.

Quarry filled for safety. Plenty shallows and rocks for habitat in the shore line

Some development of the open space around the quarry area should be permitted as this would surely help discourage random fly tipping and anti-social behaviour.

I think that the quarry pond should definitely be kept for recreational purposes for example,

Scuba diving and other water based sports are very popular and the quarry pond provides a ready made facility for properly managed activities.

Also many people are now trying 'open water' swimming to enhance their well being etc. so again this pond provides an ideal place to do that!

It should be kept open space with the quarry managed for recreation - not filled in

Approve the planning application

leave it as it is

Building on Paper Mill site but Preston Hill Quarry to be left as natural environment

Remain open space

This is an area of natural beauty with the potential to contribute to biodiversity. It should be left as greenfield with the pond managed for recreation.

Development would be acceptable but maybe on a smaller scale than what is proposed. The guarry should be filled in.

I would like Prestonhill Quarry left the way it is. It is a natural site providing space for nature/wildlife. I don't think the quarry pool should be filled in but do think it should be made safer so possibly a scuba diving club would be a good idea as long as it did not have a negative impact on the surrounding area.

Empty it before summer

4.7 Other Sites

Consultation Question

Are there other areas in Inverkeithing that should be included in the Local Place Plan to set out what kind of development might be acceptable? What would you like to see happen with other sites?

The high street is in desperate need of being included in the local place plan. Considering the amount of new people to the area that are people who couldn't afford to live south of the bridge we need to capitalise on this and make the high street are area people want to spend time. Get rid of old run down shops such as hat and ribbon, get rid of vape shops and uninviting pubs / bookies, make it appealing for cafes and restaurants to go on the high street, interior shops etc to make people want to visit Inverkeithing not just because you live / visit people here. We have so much potential in the high street and if we can get the high school into a leisure centre to be a lively and prosperous town that can rival south Queensferry, Aberdour and alike.

High street park.

The harbour needs tidied up. All the junk in the paper mill side needs to be removed. The boat club is an eyesore. It would be great to be able to walk all the way around the harbour on both sides

Quarry site, another fatality waiting to happen. It needs to be regenerated and the reality to enable that means some housing to cross fund.

The bridge and coastal path behind ballast bank and paper mill could be improved to better link to forth bridge / fertile park and ride as an active travel route.

The Quarry?

Prestonhill Quarry. A dangerous eyesore. Some compromise/limited development is required, retaining green space and amenity but reinstating derelict land and reducing further risk of tragedies.

It would be extremely beneficial if the prestonhill area / quarry / coastal path could be designated as not for commercial.or residential development. The area is used extensively for leisure, is a open area along the coast that people.use regularly for walking etc and there is extensive wildlife in the area. I am extremely against any sort of housing development on the site. Designating it as an area for leisure with perhaps plans that would enhance the area for community and leisure use would be of most benefit to the people of Inverkeithing

No

We would need a larger grocery store in Inverkeathing to be honest. Anyway, I think it's very nice that you've created this poll. Hats off.

There's not really anywhere else I would consider for development. I would say it would be nice to have a supermarket somewhere. There was a Sainsbury's bid years ago, but that was declined. A supermarket down at the mill with housing would be a good plan.

There is access to Inverkeithing (Spencerfields) from the coastal path through steps. This would be fantastic for walkers and dog walkers if it was usable more than 3 mths of the year and not just a mud bath for the rest of the year. There are lots of proper paths all the way along Dalgetty Bay and Aberdour but this path is just mud. Would link Cochrane Avenue to the Coastal Path

The old quarry should be developed into a proper diving centre. This would make the area much safer and cleaner and would be another asset for Fife

Why, you don't listen. We have lost the reason for people to visit such a historical town. Personally you have wasted money on the townhouse to keep it as meeting rooms. Please turn it into a museum and a cafe. There isn't anywhere visible for tourists and locals to sit for a bite to eat and a cuppa. Sorry millbrae is rubbish and too small and apart from that then what is there? History is totally being lost. People who have bought the housing at the high school don't even shop in the High Street.

The Port area needs a bit of work and tidying up

The quarry and surrounding area - nature reserve.

What's happening to the lower part of old Fraser Avenue? Just left and road is terrible too at the junction of Spencerfield.

High Street with proper traffic and parking restrictions and real regeneration

I'd like to see more accessible paths in the wooded area next to the coastal path to improve access to this area, as it's often very muddy and slippery, which cuts off access.

It would also be nice to see more trees and shrubs used in Ballast Bank park to help with drainage on the often waterlogged football pitches which causes flooding issues for local residents.

No more houses anyway, Inverkeithing was a beautiful old historic town and should have stayed that way.

No

If building new houses, the inferstructure particularly drs needs addressing.

I think more security and safety measures need to be put in place at the quarry as I feel it is unsafe and easily accessible to teenagers.

I just hate seeing any old unused buildings go to ruin, in the long term it's a waste of money as costs so much to repair once in disrepair! Use old buildings rather than build new if possible. Have a mixture of new housing/ shops and nature areas keep all happy None

Retention of sporting facilities, including swimming pool is essential.

N/a

quarry, letham woods, farmland. we didnt want taylor wimpey and we dont want more taylor wimpey

None

The amount of units closing on the High Street is truly appalling. A plan to encourage sustainable businesses to the area should be developed. Burntisland has done this very well.

I would look to development North of Inverkeithing, to balance pressures to the other side of the main roads. This may seem a shift away from the traditional footprint, but could provide a better long term balance.

Port Street and the Backlands would be suitable for a small scale housing development.

Anything which local people could use

The old harbour area surely deserves attention and retention to attract more maritime use? There's a lot of money to be made in marine activities!

The field and woodland area next to the A921. It would be nice if this area was cut once or twice a year to allow it to be walkable in the summer months.

Even better would be to have a proper fence/wall between the field and roadside to make it safer. A nice woodland area could be creates similar to the recent improvements in Cowdenbeath community woodland and especially the Taft.

No

No

Old Preston Quarry site, deep quarry pool and cliff face. Make safe then create green nature trail and area as part of the present coastal path.

Development should only take place in a gradual sustainable progression. Building thousands of houses without good local people infrastructure leads to ghettos like has occurred in the central belt cities.

I live in south Rosyth but regularly use the Coastal Path and believe wholeheartedly that the Inverkeithing/Rosyth area should have a great swimming pool, sports facilities and recreational facilities. A route using the railway line would be a lovely addition to the local heath and wellbeing of both communities.

Preston quarry

No

4.8 Housing Policy

| Does Inverkeithing need more social housing? | Does Inverkeithing need more private housing? (either rented or owned) |
|---|---|
| Yes | No |
| Yes | Yes |
| No | No |
| Yes | Yes |
| Yes. | No. |
| No | No |
| No we need more community areas such as a sports centre to replace the high school and utilising the quarry site as an attraction area to make others come to inverkeithing. We need to make inverkeithing a place to come and visit before more housing gets introduced as there's already so many houses with not much to do and a failing / degrading high street if you can even call it a high street. | No see above I think the High Street with its lack of good restaurants and empty units shows that it does need investment and that comes from |
| Don't know | requirement, more private housing will do that. So yes I think so. |
| no | The new development opposite the school is a better look for Inverkeithing as a town |
| No | no |
| Yes | No |
| No | Yes |
| Yes | No |
| Yes | |
| Absolutely. | Yes |
| Yes | Yes - on brownfield sites only. |
| No | No |
| Yes | Yes |
| Yes but for local people who have been brought up here! | No |
| Yes | No |
| Yes | No |
| Yes | No |
| No | No |

| Yes | No |
|---|--|
| Yes | No |
| Yes, to house local residents? | Yes |
| There is a need for more housing | |
| generally including affordable housing. | |
| There are obvious brownfield land | Not sure,can we cope with more |
| opportunities, Preston quarry, roods, | residents, without improving amenities-doctors |
| Caldwell mill site, old primary school site. | surgeries,schools etc. |
| NO | As above. |
| I don't think we need more housing. | NO |
| I would say it does but for the people of Inverkeithing first and for people who can | |
| afford them, I've experienced first hand a | |
| lot of houses given to people from outside | |
| Inverkeithing, wrong size of house given | |
| to the wrong amount of people and undesirable ones coming in and causing | |
| unsociable behaviour. | I don't think we need more housing. |
| Possibly | Yes definitely |
| Not that I am aware | NO |
| No, it is already hard to get a GP | |
| appointment. | No |
| No | No, it is already hard to get a GP appointment. |
| No , We have enough | Yes |
| Yes, absolutely. | No our town size does not need expanding |
| The paper mill site is the ideal area for | The can to make a control mode on paritiming |
| housing as very few residents will be | Possibly, but it needs to be balanced with the |
| affected while work is undertaken and will | infrastructure and other town developments |
| avoid an antisocial gathering for groups | (e.g. Doctor, Dentist, catchment for schools, |
| and gypsies. | public fascilties, transport links, etc) |
| No, unless serious work is put into | |
| increasing the amenities and facilities | |
| such as doctors, dentist etc | No |
| No it dosent too many alreadyn | No, comments as above |
| I don't know. | No |
| Due to mismanagement by the Scottish | |
| govt the whole of Scotland needs more | |
| housing of all types and that includes | |
| Inverkeithing | No |
| Yes, but no private housing. Just council | Yes. A likely area for development is the high |
| housing | school grounds once the school moves |
| Probably | NO! |
| No. I live on the Spencerfields site where | |
| there is an allocation of social housing. | |
| From what i observe, these houses are | Vas hut some of it must be truly affordable |
| not cared for with junk strewn around them and gardens left in a mess. | Yes but some of it must be truly affordable. There is also a need for larger family houses |
| anom and gardens left in a mess. | Thore is also a need for larger failing houses |

| People who want to stay in Inverkeithing but |
|---|
| can afford larger houses have to move out of |
| the town |
| Yes. Development grows the town and in |
| theory employment and facilities should grow |
| with it |
| Inverkeathing does need more private housing |
| as well, as said earlier, please take in |
| · • |
| consideration the medical practice's intake |
| capacity. |
| No |
| I'd say yes too and definitely more rented |
| No2 |
| Yes, but private housing should be managed to |
| be affordable and beneficial to the community. |
| It's especially important new builds consider |
| permaculture and put in suitable measures to |
| prevent worsening of flooding in the area. |
| · |
| |
| |
| |
| Vos |
| Yes |
| Yes, it's always good to have a choice of |
| Yes, it's always good to have a choice of housing for those who can afford it. |
| Yes, it's always good to have a choice of housing for those who can afford it. Also, a community needs a good mix of people |
| Yes, it's always good to have a choice of housing for those who can afford it. |
| Yes, it's always good to have a choice of housing for those who can afford it. Also, a community needs a good mix of people |
| Yes, it's always good to have a choice of housing for those who can afford it. Also, a community needs a good mix of people |
| Yes, it's always good to have a choice of housing for those who can afford it. Also, a community needs a good mix of people and cultures to enhance it. |
| Yes, it's always good to have a choice of housing for those who can afford it. Also, a community needs a good mix of people and cultures to enhance it. Yes |
| Yes, it's always good to have a choice of housing for those who can afford it. Also, a community needs a good mix of people and cultures to enhance it. Yes Yes |
| Yes, it's always good to have a choice of housing for those who can afford it. Also, a community needs a good mix of people and cultures to enhance it. Yes Yes |
| Yes, it's always good to have a choice of housing for those who can afford it. Also, a community needs a good mix of people and cultures to enhance it. Yes Yes |
| Yes, it's always good to have a choice of housing for those who can afford it. Also, a community needs a good mix of people and cultures to enhance it. Yes Yes not without a huge increase in medical services |
| Yes, it's always good to have a choice of housing for those who can afford it. Also, a community needs a good mix of people and cultures to enhance it. Yes Yes not without a huge increase in medical services No Yes |
| Yes, it's always good to have a choice of housing for those who can afford it. Also, a community needs a good mix of people and cultures to enhance it. Yes Yes not without a huge increase in medical services No Yes No, the current volume of housing is already |
| Yes, it's always good to have a choice of housing for those who can afford it. Also, a community needs a good mix of people and cultures to enhance it. Yes Yes not without a huge increase in medical services No Yes No, the current volume of housing is already beyond the scope of the resources for the |
| Yes, it's always good to have a choice of housing for those who can afford it. Also, a community needs a good mix of people and cultures to enhance it. Yes Yes not without a huge increase in medical services No Yes No, the current volume of housing is already beyond the scope of the resources for the community |
| Yes, it's always good to have a choice of housing for those who can afford it. Also, a community needs a good mix of people and cultures to enhance it. Yes Yes not without a huge increase in medical services No Yes No, the current volume of housing is already beyond the scope of the resources for the community No. |
| Yes, it's always good to have a choice of housing for those who can afford it. Also, a community needs a good mix of people and cultures to enhance it. Yes Yes not without a huge increase in medical services No Yes No, the current volume of housing is already beyond the scope of the resources for the community |
| |

| What's kind of housing does Inverkeithing need? (e.g. 1-bed, 2bed, large family homes, retirement bungalows, a mix of all?) | Do you agree that any private housing development should be on empty or derelict sites like the papermill or old primary school sites? |
|---|--|
| Family homes (including 2 beds) | No |
| I don't know. | Yes |
| Retirement housing at affordable rent | No |
| Mixed | Yes |
| Mix | No. |
| None | No |
| Not council housing for sure. | No don't put housing on the papermill it would be such a waste to the community |
| Mix | I think given there are so many open sites these should be prioritised for sure. |
| no more housing is required | yes |
| None | Yes |
| A mix | Yes |
| None | Inverkeithing doesn't need any more |
| | Yes |
| A mix of all | Yes |
| A mixture but the current shortfall in larger homes for rent needs to be addressed. Overcrowding is a serious issue in Inverkeithing. | Yes. |
| A mix of all. | The papermill yes, the primary school is like something to happen to the site but unsure if houses is a good idea |
| Family homes | Yes. Green belt land should be protected. Although not all derelict sites are suitable (e g the papermill site is definitely not). |
| A mixture | Yes |
| Mix of everything including apartments | Yes |
| 1, 2 and 3 bed homes that are affordable. | I agree that derelict sites should be redeveloped for housing. However, green belt sites should remain green belt sites. |
| A mix of all | Yes |
| Family homes | Yes |
| None | No |
| All | Yes |
| A mix of all types of accommodation is required in Inverkeithing as the population reflects this need. | Yes |
| Not sure? A mix of all? | Yes, good use of these areas. |
| A mix of all. | Yes as per previous answers, national |

| | Plannning policy supports development of |
|---|--|
| | brownfield and vacant and derelict land over greenfield sites. |
| | YES |
| | If more housing is deemed to be necessary by |
| I don't think we need more housing | those in positions of power then it should only occur on sites such as the papermill or primary school site that have no value or use to the local people. |
| A mix of all | Yes |
| Starter homes for young working-age | The old primary school and perhaps the |
| adults (1.5-2 bed) | papermill should be |
| See above. | Yes, paper mill (open walking cycling route along old railway). Old primary school could be used for workshops/social enterprises |
| None | No |
| Bungalows and family homes. | Yes. |
| n/a | yes |
| A healthy mix is required. Most developments concentrate on the high profitability of larger 'luxury' homes, but there's needs to be a healthy mix, to be affordable / accessible to all people and all demographics. | No, any development must be sympathies to the sites and surroundings. Looking at Inverkeithing, it would seem evident that a 'cohesive' and 'long term' plan, has been packed for some time. |
| None | DefinitelyNot Preston Quarry |
| More affordable housing or rentals but not necessarily new builds due to the lack of infrastructure such as roads, doctors etc | - |
| None | We dont or cannot sustain anymore houses |
| 2 bed & retirement bungalows | No |
| A mix of all | No. If a case can be made for developing on green field sites then housing should be built there |
| Bungalows, 2&3bed family home, | NO! |
| Mixed. Larger more expensive houses would bring more affiance to the town. This would always be balanced by the social housing and smaller private houses | Housing sounds the best solutions for these sights, but I don't know that the housing has to be all private |
| A mixture. | Yes |
| A mix of all would benefit the community as peipy can't say you didn't try to please everyone. | Commercial development would be better on these sites but new homes are needed probably more. Grocery shops, private dentistry etc is needed but people need news homes as well. |
| Retirement village | Yes leisure centre and more doctors |
| I'd say a mix of all | Yes |
| 2 bed retirement bungalows | Yes |

| | I'm not sure, I think some of these sites should be developed into facilities for the community as |
|---|---|
| A mix of all | the priority. |
| Mix | Greenfield on the high school too |
| I would say a mix of all is the best way ahead. | Yes, anything is better than nothing on these eyesore locations! |
| Large family homes | Yes, but depending on the extent of the housing development |
| All | Anywhere is fine, retain the parks and community centres |
| | no |
| Social Housing | Paper Mill and Primary School sites should be considered before all else |
| A mix of starter and family homes | Yes |
| 1 and 2 had souncil homes | Private housing developments should only be derelict sites but are not the best use of derelict sites, which would be better used for community resources or greenspace, which could be |
| 1 and 2 bed council homes | community managed. |
| A mix of all. | If possible and if there is no feasible alternative. |
| A mix of all | Yes |
| Disabled | No |

4.9 Imagine Inverkeithing

| Natural and Built Environment | Social Objectives |
|---|---|
| Make Inverkeithing a town of flexible and attractive public realm and open spaces. Better pedestrian connectivity and inclusive access. Retain and enhance the best of the town's natural and built heritage resources. Make Inverkeithing a climate resilient town. Make Inverkeithing energy resilient. | Inverkeithing as a welcoming and inclusive town. Build a sense of pride in the town's history. Give young people a role in the future of the town. Put people first by creating spaces that offer the opportunity for public life and social interaction to happen – whether planned or spontaneous and provide benefits for physical and mental health. |
| Should these objectives should be incorporated into the new Local Place Plan? Are there any other Natural and Built Environment Objectives that should be included? Do you agree there should be no further development between Inverkeithing and Dalgety Bay and between Inverkeithing and Rosyth or North Queensferry? | Should these objectives be incorporated into the new Local Place Plan? Are there any other Social Objectives that should be included? |
| I agree there should be no further development, and priority should be on development of the sports facility the community clearly want for the high school site | The development of the sports and leisure centre at the old high school site. This is already much used by public and clubs. It would be amazing for the local and surrounding community to have something like this for physical and mental health! |
| Yes and yes. But some development at Prestonhill is required. | Absolutely. |
| Yes, yes,no | Yes |
| Mixture of natural and built environments at paper mill/quarry sites | Yes, retention of sporting facilities including swimming pool at high school site. |
| Yes. | Yes. |
| Yes | No |
| We need to make inverkeithing somewhere people want to visit instead of everyone utilising dalgety bay more. | |

Consultation Findings No thoughts

| Consultation | on Findings |
|--|---|
| Barring some development on the High School grounds once it moves I don't see | No thoughts |
| any need to go closer to Dalgetty Bay/Hillend | |
| agree | agree |
| No | The community wing must be replaced when the high school moves |
| Yes | Definitely |
| Yes those objectives above should be incorporated. There should definitely be no more development between the surrounding towns | |
| Yes to the flexible and attractive open spaces and enhance the natural and heritage areas. No more development between Dalgety bay, rosyth or north queensferry. | Yes to giving young people a role and creating spaces for public life and interaction |
| Yes | Yes |
| Some of these are too airy fairy. What does | No strong views. |
| Make climate resilient and make energy resilient even mean? | |
| No further housing development between Inverkeithing and Dalgety Bay. | |
| No further development we need to keep our green spaces | Yes |
| Yes | They should. |
| Yes/ make old dockyard line a nature walk/yes | Yes/ open air gym equipment like Buckhaven etc. |
| Yes | Yes but try to home local people first |
| Yes | Yes |
| Yes | Yes |
| Yes | No |
| Yes | Yes |
| No | Yes |
| I think Inverkeithing has great scope for improvement of its natural & man made resources ie. both Preston Hill & Crooks Quarries could be developed into unique recreational spaces as well as some kind of structural development. | Yes, definitely. Young people should definitely be included in any future plans to help give them a sense of belonging & pride in their town. |
| I think the natural boundaries of Letham Hill, CastlandHill & Ferry Hills should never be compromised as it would take away the | |

| | 9 |
|---|---|
| identities of Inverkeithing, Dalgety Bay & Rosyth as individual towns. | |
| I agree that there should be no further development between Inverkeithing and our neighbours. | Inverkeithing needs to retain its identity,and we have to encourage a sense of pride in the town! |
| Yes I agree, there should be not further development. Preserve open space. Tackle empty and derelict buildings. | |
| Yes, I entirely agree with these objectives. The area around the quarry should not be treated as derelict land, but rather valued as natural environment. I agree there should be no further development between Inverkeithing and Dalgety Bay and between Inverkeithing and Rosyth or North Queensferry. | Yes, I agree with these objectives |
| I agree with these plans but something has to be done about Inverkeithing high street to encourage people to visit better shops and maybe a monthly farmers market and agree to no further development between the | Yes they should be incorporated |
| towns | |
| · | Yes - delighted to see third spaces are being considered in these objectives. |
| towns | |
| Yes. Preserve unique spaces such as Prestonhill Quarry, which may be brownfield on paper but visually appear to be and are used as | |
| Yes. Preserve unique spaces such as Prestonhill Quarry, which may be brownfield on paper but visually appear to be and are used as greenspace by locals. Ideally there should be no extension of the boundaries. Extension would be preferable to sites such as the quarry (locally | |
| Yes. Preserve unique spaces such as Prestonhill Quarry, which may be brownfield on paper but visually appear to be and are used as greenspace by locals. Ideally there should be no extension of the boundaries. Extension would be preferable to sites such as the quarry (locally accessible green space) being developed. | considered in these objectives. |
| Yes. Preserve unique spaces such as Prestonhill Quarry, which may be brownfield on paper but visually appear to be and are used as greenspace by locals. Ideally there should be no extension of the boundaries. Extension would be preferable to sites such as the quarry (locally accessible green space) being developed. Yes Yes, there should be clear boundaries with | considered in these objectives. Yes |

| Yes, Inverkeithing is actually quite unusual as a 'hub' town, along the coast (just about all forms of transport can be accessed, pass through, the area; but the town will see a real shift, when the High School is lost. New, compelling, reasons will be needed to set future direction (access to coastal links, access to tourist routes, leverage the history of the area, be forward thinking with nature and environmental solutions. | Nothing to add. |
|--|--|
| Yes | Yes definitely involve young people. |
| I agree that there should be no further developments | I feel all of the above need improved |
| No more development | |
| Yes they should be incorporated into the new LPP. I agree that no further development between Inverkeithing and Dalgety bay & between Inverkeithing and Rosyth & north queens ferry should occur. | Yes |
| There should be further development between DB and Inverkeithing and NQ and Inverkeithing. Why not? | D/k |
| I don't really understand what is being asked here. I would say there should be more nature parks i.e. leaving the Quarry and Costal Walking Path alone so people can go for a walk and get away from the town. But the Papermill should be made into a park like the Glen in Dunfermline | the High Street and more places for people to go. More activities such as Night Classes in |
| Yes | No enough is made of the heritage and Royal Burgh status. I'd like to see a bit more pride in the town. |
| No | Yes. Give young people things to do, better leisure facilities etc |
| | So far no, you have great objectives. We can focus on implementing them. |
| Yes | Leisure centre to keep kids off the street |
| I'd say most definitely with regards to the bullet points above and I'd say better connection to the Fife Coastal Path and more cycleways. I'm okay with developing along with Dalgety Bay, Rosyth and North | Most definitely with regards to all the bullets above and I can't think of any and not sure if a sports centre comes into this |

| Queensferry as it may be better if all joined together | |
|--|--|
| Yes | Yes |
| I agree these objectives should be incorporated into the new LLP. I think better permaculture to enhance flood defence should also be included. I do not agree about no further developments, I think it depends on the nature of the development. | Yes, I agree these should be included in the LLP. I think better public transport links should be included to improve Inverkeithing's Social Objectives, allowing locals to benefit fully from the culture of local cities like Dunfirmline and Edinburgh. |
| Don't agree, devepment at quarry or bottom of new taylor wimpy will still allow buffer of the woods between towns. | Yes |
| Yes to all of the above. | Social objectives should definitely be incorporated in the plan. There is never enough social activities to keep young people away from anti-social gatherings. |
| They should be incorporated. No further development which will join Inverkeithing with surrounding towns | They should be included |
| Nope, keep building. Until we get on top of the pot holes - no further red tape is needed. We can discuss more red tape once the pot hole situation is fully resolved. | Did we not just remove the school? Which seems a poor idea. Keep the pool and community centre, perhaps expand it. Add a few play parks. |
| | Less lofty visions and more concrete actions. |
| no | yes |
| Agree that there should be no further development outside current Inverkeithing boundaries | Yes, above objectives should be at the heart of any future planning |
| Yes | Yes |
| | Yes these objectives should be incorporated. Further social objectives should include fostering cultural activities, as there is currently no real space for this; making Inverkeithing an accessible town for all. |
| I support these objectives and that there should be no further development between Dalgety Bay, Rosyth and North Queensferry. | These objectives should be incorporated into the Plan. |
| Yes I think Imagine Inverkeithing should be incorporated into the new LPP. Better facilities in the current High Street park would be good. | Yes these objectives should be incorporated in the new LPP. New facilities in the High Street Park/Friary Gardens could be included to encourage better social interaction. Better |

| I think there should be no further development between Inverkeithing and Dalgety Bay, Rosyth, Hillend or North Queensferry. | new facilities at the Wing or even the Civic Centre could also encourage social interaction with more clubs, classes or other ways to meet new people. |
|---|---|
| Yes | More social |
| Overriding themes | Overriding Themes |
| Some respondents were unclear by what making Inverkeithing 'climate resistant' and 'energy resilient meant' | Many respondents had concerns about the lack of social spaces in the town and the fact this may contribute to anti social behaviour or people leaving the town. |
| Those who provided comments clearly emphasised how important it was to maintain green spaces, and the broad consensus was that all building should take place on brownfield sites | There was an overriding theme that respondents believed the retention of the community use leisure facilities, including the gym and swimming pool, at Inverkeithing High School was of the utmost importance and should be a key feature of any future development on this site. |
| Although the Quarry may appear a brownfield site on paper, a recurring theme was for this site to be protected in its natural environment/current state and perhaps enhanced into some form of a unique outdoor recreational area. | Respondents generally agreed with the proposed objectives and believe that focus should now be placed on implanting them |
| Almost all respondents emphasised their want for the town's boundaries to remain separated from NQ and DB, through the protection of natural green spaces that currently separate the towns. One respondent did indicate further development was necessary, however, and at this time they had seen no evidence that provided a firm argument against developing this land. | Many respondents highlighted their concern that there was a lack of focus on the history of the town, and this should play an integral role in any development plans |
| Focus was placed on the town centre, and it was highlighted that more needs to be done to keep people in the town and using the town - especially as more development takes place. Concern was raised that most residents go to DB to access facilities (Commercial or otherwise) that could be delivered within Inverkeithing itself with the right planning. | Many also believed that young people should be consulted and placed at the heart of any future developments. This was justified on the basis that many young people move away from Inverkeithing and their thoughts and opinions should be taken into consideration to keep them in the local area. |

| Economy Objectives | Promote the Coastal Edge / Pride in the Past |
|---|---|
| Retain and develop diverse and thriving local businesses. Make Inverkeithing an attractive destination for new businesses and startups. Offer opportunities that capitalise on Inverkeithing's entrepreneurial spirit. Create a destination capturing Inverkeithing's history. Create a brand around Inverkeithing's industrial and maritime heritage. Should these objectives be incorporated into the new Local Place Plan? Are there any other Economy objectives that should be included? | Deliver a high-quality green network and enhanced habitat along the coastline. Integrate high quality pedestrian and active travel links, |
| We desperately need a better supermarket here. The town has really felt the loss of farm foods. Our high street is collapsing, more needs done here | *again, the priority of development of the high school site for 'sports and leisure'. I also support the development of the nature trails and green space for the coastal walk etc and the possibility of development of the old rail track as a nature walk/cycle path |
| Yes | Yes. |
| Yes | Yes |
| Museum highlighting Inverkeithing's maritime heritage separate from library | Yes, improved leisure facilities |
| Yes. | Yes. |
| Yes | Yes |
| Yes these should most definitely be included. The high street needs serious attention, we cannot let the high school not become a social hub / sports centre and to build more housing before fixing these things would be crazy as this town will become a passing through town and no businesses would want to remain or come here. | Yes |

| | Voc. The coastal path is amazing but there |
|--|--|
| No thoughts | Yes. The coastal path is amazing but there are restrictions to accessing it when you |
| | compare to other towns like Dalgetty Bay. |
| | compare to other towns like Daigetty Bay. |
| | Use of the path for all should be |
| | encouraged. |
| | |
| | As well as entering at Ballast Park, more |
| | should be done with the path from the |
| | bottom of Spencerfields that is unusable for |
| | 7mths of the year as it's just mud. |
| agree | agree |
| The high street must be regenerated | Yes |
| Agree, and encourage the upkeep of retail | Yes |
| space and the uptake of empty shops on the | |
| High Street | |
| Absolutely | Definitely |
| Yes | Yes |
| Yes | Yes |
| The High Street needs to be addressed and | Yes. |
| recognised as a Town Centre (rather than a | |
| local shopping area) in any plans. | |
| | In relation to Objective 1, FCCT must be |
| | persuaded/compelled to invest fairly in this |
| | part of Fife. |
| | Public toilet provision at the Ballast Bank car |
| | park should be an aim |
| Yes | Definitely promote it more I walk coastal |
| | path a lot and feel it need facilities either a |
| | wee coffee stall or cafe! |
| Yes. Inverkeithing should be seen as a key | Yes |
| commuting town and it is just not right now. | |
| Unfortunately we can't sustain any local bars | Yes |
| at the moment. Not one establishment you | |
| would take your family to. | Vac |
| Yes | Yes |
| Anything that could improve the economy of Inverkeithing would benefit the town. | Definitely. |
| A diverse mix of businesses would more | I think my previous thoughts have |
| appealing than the existing abundance of hairdressers & charity shops. | addressed this question. |
| Yes! | Yes! |
| | |

| | I agree that the open spaces around the coastal path should be preserved for the future. Let's make it greener and keep space for people to walk and breath. There is no need to further reduce the coastal path with new housing, pushing the walker, cyclist, onto the public road (for example as in Dalgety bay). |
|--|---|
| Yes, I agree with these objectives | Yes, I agree with these objectives. |
| Definitely | Yes definitely |
| Yes - and a huge boon to branding around our maritime heritage would be a diving centre at the quarry. | Yes - and the preservation of Prestonhill Quarry should explicitly be included in the plan. |
| I would suggest supporting the recently closed pubs to find new landlords, or to remain open. Perhaps encourage the development of nightlife for those of different tastes - e.g. a cocktail bar and a bar-with-adancefloor, to encourage younger residents to spend their money on local nights out on the high street. | |
| Yes (requiring more office and workshop opportunities) | Yes |
| Inverkeithing has very poor amenities, apart from good choices of hairdressers and barbers. | Yes |
| Showcasing Inverkeithing's history is important. | Yes, family's are moving here from Edinburgh to give their children access to greener spaces and the outdoors. |
| yes | yes |
| See investment back into the area (nurture, don't exploit. Innovate, don't copy) The Town House is a good example of forward thinking development and opportunity. | |
| Yes sounds a very attractive proposal | Yes |
| More local business support, provide areas for them such as developing the old school or similar into local retail units for small businesses. | Yes |
| | Yes |
| Yes | Yes -except for point 3 -so long as investment opportunities in vacant gap sites does not mean development of Preston Hill quarry. |
| D/k | D/k |

INVERKEITHING LOCAL PLACE PLAN Consultation Findings ides to enjoy so they Build an adult outside gym (an adult

| Build an arcade for kids to enjoy so they have something to do, use the old Farm Foods building and have it in there | Build an adult outside gym (an adult "playpark") like they have in America, this can be benefical to those that like to work outside. But also build an indoor gym. It would be nice to be able to go in the swimming pool during the day but because it is attached to the school no adult can during school hours. Have a gym with a pool in it and spa etc. This will encourage the locals to get fit and healthy as it is on their doorstep. If it is far away like Dunfermline it is discouraging if you don't drive and have to rely on public transport which can stop alot of people wanting to make the trip. |
|--|--|
| I like the above objectives and they should be incorporated | Yes please. And the harbour/ waterfront is a mess. I'd love to be able to walk along the waterfront without having to go around the Swifts inclosure, the ugly boat club and the paper mill site. I don't suppose much can be done about Stone Marine. |
| A growing town should directly drive this objective. A larger population tends to bring more money into a town and greater economic opportunity | 100%. The connectivity of Inverkeithing with other areas in the region is poor. I don't own a car and to walk to Dunfermline I either have to head through Rosyth or to Dalgety Bay. The lack of path from the roundabout is shocking. |
| So far, you have great objectives. We can focus on implementing them. | Yes please. |
| N/a | Yes |
| Most definitely to all of the above bullets and doing something with the Friars House next to the Civic Centre | I agree with all of the above |
| Yes | Yes |
| I agree with including "Retain and develop diverse and thriving local businesses" but I have some concerns about the other points. I think it's important to focus on Inverkeithing's community, rather than profiteering. | I agree with adding all of these points to the LLP except for "Stimulate investment opportunities in vacant/gap sites/underused facilities. Create places for people." I feel that it would be more beneficial to consider vacant or underused facilities on a case by case basis to decide what is the best use for the community, not just for profit/investment. |
| Yes. New start up units like at donibridtle would be good in town at paper mill if it's too contaminated for housing | Yes. Improvement to coastal path and access |
| Good luck with that! | Yes. |
| So far the town centre is slowly dying so a change in objectives is definitely required! | |

| Yes, they should be included, there is a long way to go to meet those objectives | Definitely |
|---|--|
| Build, and make planning simple and they will appear. | Sounds beyond the capabilities of Fife Council who I will remind you currently don't have enough car parking spaces for the train station, or have the ability to fill a few potholes. Let's reign it back in. |
| The council are the worst placed organisation to inspire growth as everything comes with rules. Make it simple and they will come. | |
| no | no |
| Yes | Maintain existing access to coastal pathway, without any residential development to spoil the area |
| Yes | Yes |
| Need to rethink the idea of 'retain thriving local business'. 8 hair dressers and 2 vape shops is not a thriving high street. Don't need a brand for heritage, but important to 'promote' then heritage. Extra objectives should be to make Inverkeithing a Fair Work town and to provide local employment and apprenticeship opportunities for young people to build towards meaningful careers. | Yes, apart from 'investment opportunities' should be removed. Vacant sites should be considered for public sector buy-back or community management. Shouldn't tie the use to one which is profit generating. |
| These objectives should be incorporated into the Local Plan. | Yes |
| Yes they should be included. | Yes this should be incorporated too. |
| New industrial units could encourage new businesses to start up in the Papermill site, especially if there was housing there too. Maybe restaurants/wine bars/pubs could be an option too. | The Coastal Path should be maintained/upgraded. Any development in the Papermill site should incorporate the Coastal Path and/or the train line between Inverkeithing and the Dockyard. |
| New businesses could be encouraged to move into the High Street by possibly offering reduced rents maybe someone would take on the Central or the Hat and Ribbon, the old Farmfoods shop, the old Stephen's shop etc. | The Wing should be improved/expanded to provide better leisure/recreation facilities for the town. |
| | High Street could do with investment to encourage new businesses into the empty shops/pubs etc. |
| | It would be nice to show off more of Inverkeithing heritage although this may be achieved once the Townhouse reopens. |
| More heritage | More recreation |
| | |

| Legible Links | Bring Nature In |
|---|--|
| Deliver high quality pedestrian links between key points of arrival, transport interchanges and town centre. Encourage visitors and commuters into Inverkeithing with welcoming, high quality public realm that responds to and enhances the townscape character. Deliver enhanced pedestrian and active travel routes that increase the uptake of walking and cycling. Improve the quality and coordination of wayfinding. | Create a high-quality green network and green infrastructure throughout the town. Ensure that all residents in the town live within walking distance of a high quality, biodiverse green space. Promote greater biodiversity and multi-functionality of open spaces within the town. Should these objectives be incorporated into the new Local Place Plan? |
| Should these objectives be incorporated into the new Local Place Plan? | |
| Yes | Yes |
| Yes | Yes |
| Yes | Yes |
| Yes | Yes, improved biodiversity of old rail line |
| Yes. | Yes. |
| Yes | Yes |
| The train fares into Edinburgh as so expensive considering how close by we are. Make it cheaper and people wouldn't take their car in. Even to get to dalgety bay on the bus is ridiculously expensive considering how close it is. | Yes |
| Yes | No thoughts |
| agree | agree |
| Yes | Yes |
| Yes | Yes |
| Yes | Yes which is why there is such a big backlash to the development of the quarry for housing |
| Yes | Yes |
| There is no provision for cyclists, walking the coastal path is a nightmare with them and dogshit. Also never understood why there's no taxis at park and ride or dalgety bay train station. | Yes |

| Yes | Yes |
|--|--|
| Yes | Yes |
| Yes, anything that promotes health & wellbeing is a positive move. | Yes, definitely. |
| Yes,we are very lucky to have such good travel opportunities. | Yes. |
| Some walking/cycling paths could be updated and cleaned up. The old railway would be a solution for space. | Green space within walking distance! |
| Yes, I agree with these objectives | Yes, I agree with these objectives |
| Yes | Yes |
| Yes | Yes. The quarry would be an excellent asset to this portion of the plan in particular. |
| Yes, immediate attention to be paid to routes for safe travel to new Rosyth school site, as young people likely to try short routes over slip road or travel via bus | Yes |
| Yes | Yes |
| Walking and cycling are very popular and a lot can be done to improve these in and around Inverkeithing. | Yes, green space is vital to our physical and mental wellbeing. |
| yes | yes |
| Connect / collaborate with groups, like Sustrans, Historic Scotland, etc. | |
| Yes | Yes |
| D/k | No |
| Yes | Yes |
| Yes | The open spaces such as Fairy Kirk are very neglected. Too much grass cutting in the parks very much reduces biodiversity. Dog walkers seem to be prioritised over invertebrates and other native wildlife |
| Yes | Yes |
| Yes please. | |
| Yes | Yes |
| Yes | Yes |
| Yes | Yes |
| | |

| Yes, I think particularly important to improve public transport links on evenings and weekends to neighbouring cities and towns. | Yes. I would also like to see increased planning for Permaculture and use of native plants to help with flood defences. |
|--|---|
| Yes. | Yes |
| Yes. | Yes |
| Yes, but don't make Inverkeithing a touristic destination!! | Yes |
| See previous | Again, we are unable to fill in pot holes which some feature full ponds full of biodiversity. But sure build a zoo. |
| YES | YES |
| Help to encourage new businesses in High Street and existing business parks | All residents already live within walking distance of high quality, biodiverse green space |
| Yes | Yes |
| Yes | Yes |
| Yes | Yes |
| Yes this should be included. | Yes |
| The town centre should be upgraded to encourage locals and visitors to spend time/visit. | |
| Transport links should be improved to allow people to do so. infection | |
| Yes | Yes |
| | |

| Neighbourhood plan for inverkeithing | |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| The current Neighbourhood Plan sets out the following aim, "Inverkeithing will grow by choice. It will be a well-connected, inclusive and nur which will preserve and enhance its inspiring setting and proud heritage. A vibil neighbourhood built on strong communities and active participation, the town vand retain a thriving and forward-thinking local economy. Our people will forge links with partners across Fife to promote Inverkeithing as an attractive destination work and visit." | rant will support sustainable |
| Do you believe the above aim still captures how Inverkeithing should develop? | • |
| Yes | |
| Yes | |
| Yes | |
| Yes, we have to promote the town similar to Dalgety Bay and North Queensfer Inverkeithing is no longer an industrial area and we should be trying to enhance natural resources we have to attract visitors and greater promotion of the histofeatures of the town. | e the |
| Yes. | |
| Yes | |
| Yes | |
| This aim is not delivered so should be review and detailed in order to be achieved | vable. |
| Yes | |
| Yes | |
| Yes | |
| Yes, there are so many people moving to the local area that the town should be with cafes, shops, markets, events etc. similar to Burntisland. Inverkeithing is to connected fife town to Edinburgh and this should be utilised more, it could be a bustling gateway town to Fife | the best |
| Yes | |
| The proof of the pudding | |
| Yes | |
| Yes | |
| Yes | |
| Yes | |
| No | |
| Yes | |
| No | |
| Yes needs serious investment | |
| Yes | |
| Yes | |
| Definitely! | |

INVERKEITHING LOCAL PLACE PLAN Consultation Findings ove aim still captures how Inverkeithing should develop. Ho

| due to my sceptical nature, I'm not convinced growth will be by choice. |
|--|
| Yes |
| Mostly - perhaps too much emphasis on 'growing' the town - the primary 'growth' Inverkeithing needs to experience is in assets, resources and culture rather than houses/numbers of people. |
| Mostly - perhaps too much emphasis on 'growing' the town - the primary 'growth' Inverkeithing needs to experience is in assets, resources and culture rather than houses/numbers of people. |
| Yes |
| Yes |
| Yes. |
| yes |
| Yes |
| It does not grow by choice. Developers pay the money and land and permissions are given to them without thought for the pressure it puts on services or the local infrastructure. |
| Yes |
| Yes |
| If there is a Community Town Hall which is welcoming and NOT just the Fife Council building. A proper Community Town Hall where both young and older people can feel welcome and their opinions are heard. At the moment there is nothing to direct anyone to join in on the local community or get to know anyone or join groups. There is no community here and until there is I feel that this plan won't work as well. |
| Yes |
| Yes |
| Inverkeathing will develop with or without by it's choice but more based on market conditions and fluctuations. |
| Yes |
| Yes |
| Yes but I disagree with the school being moved |
| Yes |
| Yes |
| Yes |
| Yes This is the sort of thing councils spend a ton of money on, and should be used as evidence of why they are least suited to handling the future of the town. |
| Yes |
| This is the sort of thing councils spend a ton of money on, and should be used as evidence of why they are least suited to handling the future of the town. Yes |
| yes |
| Add accessible to second sentence. |
| Yes |
| Yes |
| Broadly yes |

| | |
|-----|------|
| Yes | |